

OFFICIAL



**Lee Point Master-planned Urban Development, Darwin NT
(EPBC 2015/7591) – Annual Compliance Report
September 2024-2025**

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

	<p>ii. any PFAS contaminated material with a PFOS, PFHxS or PFOA content above 50 milligrams per kilogram or litre (mg/kg or L) will be stored or disposed of in an approved receiving facility such that there will be no release of its PFAS content into the environment.</p>		
7	<p>To offset the loss of Black-footed Tree-rat habitat from 2CRU, the approval holder must provide the following to the Department:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) written agreement between the approval holder and Northern Territory Government committing to transfer of the title for the Black-footed Tree-rat offset area to the Northern Territory Government by the approval holder. The Black-footed Tree-rat offset area must contain at least 21.5 ha of Black-footed Tree-rat habitat; b) documentation demonstrating that the Northern Territory Government is satisfied with the management funding agreed to be provided by the approval holder for the management and monitoring required by Northern Territory Government of the Black-footed Tree-rat offset area; c) the offset attributes and shapefile for the Black-footed Tree-rat offset area required by condition 7a). <p>Unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the Minister, the approval holder must not continue the action at 2CRU for more than 36 months from the date of commencement of the action unless the approval holder has provided the requirements of condition 7(a-c) to the department.</p>	Compliant	<p>The action on 2CRU is not being continued until the requirements of condition 7 of the Variation Notice have been satisfied. Only site maintenance activities such as required by and in accordance with the <i>Weeds Management Act 2001 (NT)</i>, <i>Waste Management and Pollution Control Act 1998 (NT)</i>, and <i>Fire and Emergency Act 1996 (NT)</i> will occur until condition 7 is satisfied. These activities include weed management, erosion and sediment control and bushfire hazard mitigation works. Safety including appropriate site fencing will continue to be required and requires maintenance.</p>
8	<p>To offset the loss of 10 ha of Black-footed Tree-rat habitat from Muirhead North, within 12 months of commencement of the action at Muirhead North the approval holder must submit a Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Plan to the department for the Minister’s approval. The approval holder must not undertake the action at Muirhead North for more than 24 months from the date of commencement of the action unless the Minister has approved the Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Plan in writing, and the provisions of condition 2A and 8B have been met. The cessation of the action does not include the trunk sewer main as defined in Attachment D1 or the construction of dwellings on Stage 1A.</p>	Compliant	<p>Black-footed Tree-rat (BFTR) Offset Plan was approved by DCCEEW on 1 August 2025.</p>

OFFICIAL

OFFICIAL

	<p>a) The Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Plan must provide a framework for how the clearance of Black-footed Tree-rat habitat will be offset, and must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. include a review of relevant approved conservation advices, recovery plans and threat abatement plans; ii. identify threats to Black-footed Tree-rat, and potential recovery actions and research opportunities; and iii. specify a process for developing Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Projects, and a staged process for submitting proposed Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Projects and milestones for completion of Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Projects to the department for approval by the Minister. <p>b) Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Projects submitted to the department for approval in accordance with Condition 8.a)iii) must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. specify the location and nature of Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Projects activities; ii. include project goal/s, budget and a detailed Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Project description, including timeframes for the elements of the Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Project, and reporting and publishing of the Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Project results; iii. demonstrate how the Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Project is consistent with the Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Plan; iv. describe advice obtained to develop the Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Project; v. explain how the Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Project complies with the principles of the EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy and, if relevant, provide details of how the Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Project meets the criteria for research and educational programs identified in Appendix A of the EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy; and vi. identify and manage risks associated with implementing the Black-footed Tree-rat Offsets Project. <p>The approval holder must not implement any Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Project until it has been approved in writing by the Minister. Each</p>		
--	--	--	--

OFFICIAL

NORTHERN TERRITORY OF AUSTRALIA

Planning Act - sections 54 and 55

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

DP19/0050

DESCRIPTION OF LAND THE SUBJECT OF THE PERMIT

Lot 09370
Town of Nightcliff
544 LEE POINT RD, LEE POINT

APPROVED PURPOSE

To use and develop the land for the purpose of a subdivision to create 282 in 5 stages, in accordance with the attached schedule of conditions and the endorsed plans.

VARIATIONS GRANTED

Clause 11.2.3 (Lot Size and Configuration in Residential Subdivisions) of the Northern Territory Planning Scheme.

BASE PERIOD OF THE PERMIT

Subject to the provisions of sections 58, 59 and 59A of the Planning Act, this permit will lapse two years from the date of issue.

D. Parkes
Dawn Parkes
2019.02.27
15:02:37 +09'30'

DAWN PARKES
Delegate
Development Consent Authority

27 February 2019

DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

DP19/0050

SCHEDULE OF CONDITIONS**CONDITIONS PRECEDENT**

1. Prior to the endorsement of plans and prior to the commencement of works (including site preparation), amended plans are to be submitted showing:
 - (a) A full set of amended detailed subdivision plans and other supporting reports and plans, reflecting the design changes made during assessment of the application, including the demonstration of compliant building envelopes where a variation to Clause 11.2.3 (Lot Size and Configuration in Residential Subdivisions) has not been granted.The above is to be completed to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
2. Prior to the endorsement of plans and prior to the commencement of works (including site preparation), the proponent is to prepare a Construction and Environmental Management Plan. The Plan is to address waste management, traffic control, haulage routes, stormwater drainage, and how Council land will be managed during construction, to the requirements of the City of Darwin.
The Plan is also to address dust, noise and vibration impacts, and waste management, and include processes for:
 - communicating anticipated impacts of construction to stakeholders;
 - the reporting of, and responding to complaints; and
 - identifying when there are unexpected and unacceptable impacts to stakeholders and amending construction practices as appropriate,on the advice of the Environment division, Department of Environment and Natural Resources. The Plan must demonstrate compliance with NT EPA Noise Guidelines for Development Sites, and the NT EPA Noise Management Framework Guideline, and is also to include scope for regular review and updates and include the schedule for construction stages. The above is to be completed to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
3. Prior to the endorsement of plans and prior to the commencement of works (including site preparation), the design and specifications of the on-site collection of stormwater and its discharge into the City of Darwin stormwater drainage system or another acceptable location shall be submitted to and approved by the City of Darwin, Medical Entomology, Department of Health and Crown Land, to the satisfaction of the consent authority. The stormwater design should be completely free draining to avoid the potential for mosquito breeding during low flow periods. All discharge drains must be fitted with concrete low flow inverts that terminate at a free draining tidal area. Access to, and maintenance of the end of point discharge drains must be clarified and accepted by all relevant parties. The design of the stormwater infrastructure must consider the sub-staging of the development to manage the quality of stormwater.
4. Prior to the endorsement of plans and prior to the commencement of works (including site preparation), the developer is to prepare a water quality monitoring plan for Buffalo Creek, including baseline monitoring and monitoring of any additional pollutant loads from the subdivision, with any mitigation measures identified, on the advice of Water Resources, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.

5. Prior to the endorsement of plans and prior to the commencement of works (including site preparation), the developer is to prepare a weed management plan to address ongoing weed management, on the advice of Weed Management Branch, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
6. Prior to the endorsement of plans and prior to the commencement of works (including site preparation), a landscape plan is to be prepared and must be generally in accordance with the landscape concept submitted, and is to address landscaping details for all proposed road reserves and public areas, to the approval of the City of Darwin, to the satisfaction of the consent authority. The plans are to demonstrate as little clearing as possible.
7. Prior to the commencement of works, an Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) is to be submitted to and approved by the consent authority on the advice of Rangelands, Department of Environment and Natural Resources.
The ESCP must be developed by a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC) and should detail methods and treatments for minimising erosion and sediment loss from the site during both the clearing and establishment phases of the development. The ESCP should also:
- be cross-referenced with other relevant environmental management plans to ensure consistency;
 - include details of both temporary and permanent erosion and sediment control measures including for all stages of the development (pre, during and post works);
 - comprise an over-arching strategic document outlining the principals, practices and methods to be implemented, as well as site-specific dimensional plans identifying the location of works and prescribed controls, and be accompanied by standard drawings and construction notes;
 - include information regarding the proposed timing and stage of works, site manager contact details, maintenance and monitoring requirements, and reporting procedures; and
 - have the implementation regularly monitored by a suitably qualified third party auditor.
- Information regarding erosion and sediment control and ESCP content is available at www.austieca.com.au and the NTG website: <https://nt.gov.au/environment/soil-land-vegetation>. The ESCP should be emailed for assessment to: developmentassessment.denr@nt.gov.au.
8. Prior to the endorsement of plans and prior to the commencement of works (including site preparation), a site earthworks plan indicating finished levels of all proposed lots is to be submitted to and approved by the City of Darwin to the satisfaction of the consent authority. All cut and fill works are to be designed to eliminate the need for excessive cut/fill/retaining wall works for the proposed lots.
9. Prior to the endorsement of plans and prior to the commencement of works (including site preparation), the traffic impact assessment report submitted with the application is to be revised to address intersection priority and minimum collector street carriageway widths, to the requirements of the City of Darwin, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
10. Prior to the endorsement of plans and prior to the commencement of works (including site preparation), public transport details including bus stop locations and designs, and a route that considers the staging of the development are to be provided to the requirements of Transport and Civil Services, Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Logistics, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.

11. Prior to the commencement of works, the developer is to submit an updated water and sewer infrastructure master plan to Water Services, Power and Water Corporation, to the satisfaction of the consent authority. The master plan is to address the development together with the related subdivision of Lot 4873, Town of Nightcliff to the west of Lee Point Road.

12. Prior to the commencement of works, a proposed zoning plan must be submitted to and approved by the consent authority. The zoning plan must be consistent with the description given in the application and is to address the whole of the subdivision including the proposed zoning for the end of point discharge drains.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

13. The works carried out under this permit shall be in accordance with the drawings endorsed as forming part of this permit.

14. The development must proceed in the order of stages as shown on the endorsed plan unless otherwise agreed in writing by the consent authority.

15. The owner of the land must enter into agreements with the relevant authorities for the provision of water supply, sewerage facilities, electricity services and telecommunication services to each lot shown on the endorsed plan in accordance with the authorities' requirements and relevant legislation at the time.

16. All existing and proposed easements and sites for existing and required utility services must be vested in the relevant authority for which the easement or site is to be created on the plan of subdivision submitted for approval by the Surveyor General.

17. Any developments on or adjacent to any easements on site shall be carried out to the requirements of the relevant service authority to the satisfaction of the consent authority.

18. All proposed roads to be created on the plan of subdivision submitted for approval by the Surveyor General must be dedicated to the relevant Northern Territory or local government authority.

19. The Construction and Environmental Management Plan is to be implemented on the advice of the City of Darwin, and Environment, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, to the satisfaction of the consent authority. The Plan is also to remain publically available for the duration of construction.

20. Engineering design and specifications for the proposed and affected roads, street lighting, stormwater drainage, site earthworks, vehicular access, pedestrian/ cycle corridors and streetscaping are to be to the technical requirements of City of Darwin, to the satisfaction of the consent authority and all approved works constructed at the owner's expense.

21. Before the use commences the owner must, in accordance with Part 6 of the *Planning Act*, pay a monetary contribution to the City of Darwin for the upgrade of local infrastructure, in accordance with its Lee Point Road Roadwork Contribution Plan, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.

22. Stormwater is to be collected and discharged into the drainage network to the technical standards of the City of Darwin, Medical Entomology, Department of Health, and Crown Land, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.

23. The applicant is to demonstrate all discharge drains, including the end of point of the drains, include suitable access to allow for maintenance on the advice of Medical Entomology, Department of Health, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
24. The landscaping works shown on the endorsed plans must be carried out and completed to the requirements of the City of Darwin, and Medical Entomology, Department of Health, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
25. All works relating to this permit are to be undertaken in accordance with the approved Erosion and Sediment Control Plan to the requirements of the consent authority on the advice of Rangelands, Department of Environment and Natural Resources.
26. The water quality monitoring plan for Buffalo Creek is to be implemented on the advice of Water Resources, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
27. The weed management plan is to be implemented on the advice of Weed Management Branch, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
28. Any offset or offset management plan required by the Australian Government with respect to the significant residual impact to the black-footed tree-rat (*Mesembriomys gouldii gouldii*) is to be completed, on the advice of Flora and Fauna, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
29. A qualified person, under section 68 of the *Waste Management and Pollution Control Act*, must provide certification that the site is suitable for the intended use on the advice of Environment, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
30. The proponent must ensure that only clean fill (virgin excavated natural material) is accepted and that the fill has been adequately assessed as being suitable for its intended use, on the advice of Environment, Department of Environment and Natural Resource, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
31. Before the issue of titles, the developer is to provide written confirmation from a suitably qualified person that the rural residential lots are entirely unconstrained and free draining, and suitable for their intended purpose, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
32. Before the issue of titles, the developer is to provide written confirmation (in the form of plans or drawings) demonstrating that all lots less than 600m² for single dwellings allow for future vehicle access via a single driveway unrestricted by street infrastructure (including any power, water, sewer, or stormwater infrastructure) which demonstrates that a 3.5m driveway can be located on each lot whilst ensuring that each lot's street frontage has a minimum continuous length of 6.5m, to the satisfaction of the consent authority.
33. Pursuant to section 34 of the *Land Title Act*, a Caution Notice shall be lodged with the Registrar General on the parent parcel to include the following advice on all proposed lots indicated on the endorsed drawings to be located within the 1.7km odour buffer from the Leanyer Sanderson Wastewater Treatment Plant.

The Caution Notice is to state that:

"This lot:

- occurs within the identified odour buffer for the Leanyer Sanderson Wastewater Treatment Plant; and
- may be subject to occasional odours associated with the operation of the Leanyer Sanderson Wastewater Treatment Plant.”

Evidence of lodgement on the parent parcel shall be provided to the satisfaction of the consent authority.

34. Pursuant to section 34 of the *Land Title Act*, a Caution Notice shall be lodged with the Registrar General on the parent parcel to include the following advice on all proposed lots indicated on the endorsed drawings to be located within the biting insect buffer.

The Caution Notice is to state that:

“This lot:

- occurs within the biting insect buffer; and
- that the lot is subject to seasonal mosquito and biting midge pest problems arising from the adjacent mangroves of Buffalo Creek and tidal marshes and mangroves in Leanyer Swamp.”

Evidence of lodgement on the parent parcel shall be provided to the satisfaction of the consent authority.

NOTES

1. The Power and Water Corporation advises that the Water and Sewer Services Development Section (landdevelopmentnorth@powerwater.com.au) and Power Network Engineering Section (powerconnections@powerwater.com.au) should be contacted via email a minimum of one month prior to construction works commencing to determine the Corporation's servicing requirements, and the need for upgrading of on-site and/or surrounding infrastructure.
2. If you choose nbn to service your development, you will need to enter into a development agreement with nbn. The first step is to register the development via <http://www.nbnco.com.au/develop-or-plan-with-the-nbn/new-developments.html> once registered nbn will be in contact to discuss the specific requirements for the development. Nbn requires you to apply at least 3 months before any civil works commence. All telecommunications infrastructure should be built to nbn guidelines found at <http://www.nbnco.com.au/develop-or-plan-with-the-nbn/new-developments/builders-designers.html>.
3. The Northern Territory Environment Protection Authority advises that construction work should be conducted in accordance with the Authority's Noise Guidelines for Development Sites. The guidelines specify that on-site construction activities are restricted to between 7am and 7pm Monday to Saturday and 9am to 6pm Sunday and Public Holidays. For construction activities outside these hours refer to the guidelines for further information.
4. Any proposed works which fall within the scope of the *Construction Industry Long Service Leave and Benefits Act* must be notified to NT Build by lodgement of the required Project Notification Form. Payment of any levy must be made prior to the commencement of any construction activity. NT Build should be contacted via email (info@ntbuild.com.au) or by phone on 08 89364070 to determine if the proposed works are subject to the Act.

5. As part of any subdivision, the parcel numbers for addressing should comply with the Australian Standard (AS/NZS 4819:2011). For more information contact Survey and Land Records surveylandrecords@nt.gov.au 08 8995 5354. The numbers shown on the plans endorsed as forming part of this permit are indicative only and are not for addressing purposes.
6. All new roads, including alterations and extensions to existing roads, are required to be named under the *Place Names Act*. You should immediately make application to the Place Names Committee to commence the road naming process. Contact the Place Names Unit on 08 8995 5333 or place.names@nt.gov.au.
7. The Northern Territory Environment and Protection Authority has advised that the proponent must comply with their General Environment Duty provided by section 12 of the *Waste Management and Pollution Control Act*.
8. There are statutory obligations under the *Weeds Management Act* to take all practical measures to manage weeds on the property. For advice on weed management please contact the Rangelands division, Department of Environment and Natural Resources.
9. The City of Darwin provides advice regarding the provision of street trees to be planted within Council's proposed road reserve, including the provision of a planting schedule, specification for quality tree stock, species selection, and the maintenance and establishment periods. Please contact the City of Darwin for advice on street trees.
10. Passenger Transport Planning, Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Logistics request that the developer remain in regular contact with the division and to confirm details of the location and design of bus stops as the development progresses.

From: Section 22
Sent: Tuesday, 3 December 2024 4:15 PM
To: Section 22
Cc: Section 22
Subject: RE: Lee Point - Recommendation Report request [SEC=OFFICIAL]
Attachments: 2015-7591-Lee Point Recommendation Report.pdf

[EXTERNAL] This email originated from outside of the organisation. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognise the sender and know that the content is safe.

OFFICIAL

Hi ^{Section 22}

Please find attached the recommendation report that accompanied the proposed decision brief for approval EPBC 2015/7591 – Lee Point Master-planned Urban Development, Darwin, Northern Territory.

Regards,

Section 22

Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water
Section 22

OFFICIAL

From: Section 22
Sent: Tuesday, 3 December 2024 12:10 PM
To: Section 22
Cc: Section 22
Subject: Lee Point - Recommendation Report request [SEC=OFFICIAL]

OFFICIAL

Hi ^{Section 22}

Can you please provide the recommendation report prepared by the Department for the Minister?

Regards

Section 22

| Defence Housing Australia

Section 22

| dha.gov.au



Important:

This email and any attachments may be confidential and may be privileged. If the email is not addressed to you please return it to us and destroy any copies you may have. Unauthorised use of this email and any attachment is prohibited.

Defence Housing Australia take no responsibility for misdirection, corruption or unauthorised use of email communications nor for any damage that may be caused as a result of transmitting or receiving an email communication.

Defence Housing Australia will send you correspondence and documents by email if you request or if you use email to contact us. Email is not a secure form of communication and may transmit computer viruses.

intmx01.dha.gov.au[24276332]



----- IMPORTANT - This email and any attachments have been issued by the Commonwealth of Australia (Commonwealth). The material transmitted is for the use of the intended recipient only and may contain confidential, legally privileged, copyright or personal information. You should not copy, use or disclose it without authorisation from the Commonwealth. It is your responsibility to check any attachments for viruses and defects before opening or forwarding them. If you are not an intended recipient, please contact the sender of this email at once by return email and then delete both messages. Unintended recipients must not copy, use, disclose, rely on or publish this email or attachments. The Commonwealth is not liable for any loss or damage resulting from unauthorised use or dissemination of, or any reliance on, this email or attachments. If you have received this e-mail as part of a valid mailing list and no longer want to receive a message such as this one, advise the sender by return e-mail accordingly. This notice should not be deleted or altered -----



Australian Government

Department of Climate Change, Energy,
the Environment and Water

EPBC 2015/7591

Section 22

– Defence Housing Australia

Section 22

Approval of Action Management Plan for Lee Point Master-planned Urban Development, Darwin, Northern Territory

Dear Section 22,

Thank you for your email dated 20 March 2025 to the Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water (the department), seeking approval of an Offset Management Plan for the Lee Point Master-planned urban development project (EPBC 2015/7591) in accordance with the conditions of approval issued for this project under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act).

Officers of the department have advised me on the Offset Management Plan and the requirements of the conditions of the approval for this project. On this basis, and as a delegate of the Minister for the Environment and Water, in accordance with condition 8 and specifically 8(a) of the conditions of approval, I have decided to approve the *Black-footed tree-rat (Mesembriomys gouldii gouldii) Offset Plan for the Lee Point Development* (BFTR Plan).

Now this plan has been approved, it must be implemented. The approved plan must also be published in accordance with your conditions of approval.

As you are aware, the department has an active monitoring program which includes monitoring inspections, desk top document reviews and audits. Please ensure that you maintain accurate records of all activities associated with, or relevant to, the conditions of approval so that they can be made available to the department on request.

Yours sincerely

Section 22

1 August 2025

Black-footed Tree-rat (*Mesembriomys gouldii gouldii*)
Offset Plan for the Lee Point Development (EPBC
2015/7591)

Defence Housing Australia



DECLARATION OF ACCURACY

In making this declaration, I:

- am aware that section 491 of the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (EPBC Act) makes it an offence in certain circumstances to knowingly provide false or misleading information or documents to specified persons who are known to be performing a duty or carrying out a function under the EPBC Act or the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Regulations 2000* (EPBC Regulations). The offence is punishable on conviction of imprisonment or a fine, or both.
- am authorised to bind **Defence Housing Australia** to this declaration and have no knowledge of that authorisation being revoked at the time of making this declaration.

Signature

Full name (please print)

Organisation (please print)

Revision History

Version	Purpose	Issued by	Date	Reviewer	Date
1	Draft	Patrick Tomkins	28/03/2025	Mellissa Brown	29/03/2025
2	Final	Patrick Tomkins	29/05/2025	Mellissa Brown	30/05/2025

The views and opinions expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of 4 Elements Consulting.

This publication is provided for the purpose of disseminating information relating to technical matters. While reasonable effort has been made to ensure the contents of this publication are factually correct, 4 Elements Consulting accepts no liability for any loss and/or damage resulting from the reliance upon any information, advice or recommendations contained in or arising from this publication.

© The Copyright Act 1968 permits fair dealing for study, research, information or educational purposes subject to inclusion of a sufficient acknowledgement of the source.

4 Elements Consulting

169-171 Aumuller Street

Cairns, QLD 4870

www.4elementsconsulting.com.au

Contents

1.0	Introduction	5
1.1	Purpose of the Document	5
1.1.1	Impacts Requiring Offsets	5
1.1.2	Meeting the Project's EPBC Act Approval Conditions	5
1.2	Document Structure	7
2.0	Project Overview	7
2.1	Project description	7
2.1.1	Relevant stakeholders	8
2.2	Species Description	8
2.2.1	Conservation Status	8
2.2.2	Habitat and Distribution	8
2.2.3	Ecology	9
3.0	Threats and Management Strategies	10
3.1	Relevance to the Darwin Region	16
3.1.1	Habitat Loss and Fragmentation	16
3.1.2	Inappropriate Fire Regimes	16
3.1.3	Invasive Grasses	17
3.1.4	Feral Cats	18
3.2	Management Strategies Relevant to the Darwin Region	19
3.2.1	Maintenance of Patch Integrity and Connectivity	19
3.2.2	Fire Management	19
3.2.3	Invasive Grass Management	20
3.2.4	Feral Cat Control	20
3.2.5	Habitat Mapping	21
3.2.6	Monitoring Program	21
4.0	Development of Offset Plan	22
4.1	Consistency with the EPBC ACT Offsets Policy	22
4.2	Consultation	22
5.0	Proposed Offset Projects	22
5.1	Direct Offsets	22
5.1.1	Offset Area Suitability	23
5.1.2	Fire Management	23
5.1.2.1	Development of Bushfire Management Plan	24

5.1.2.2 Timeframe 25

5.1.3 Weed Management 25

5.1.3.1 Development of Weed Management Plan..... 26

5.1.3.2 Timeframe 26

5.1.4 Feral Cat Management 27

5.1.4.1 Development of Feral Cat Management Plan..... 27

5.1.4.2 Timeframe 28

5.2 Indirect Offsets 29

5.2.1 Research Project..... 29

5.2.1.1 Development of Research Project..... 30

5.2.1.2 Timeframe 30

5.3 Delivery of Offset Projects 30

5.4 Adaptive Management..... 31

6.0 References32

Tables

Table 1 Lee point Master-planned Urban Development EPBC Approval – Condition 85

Table 2 Threats to the Black-footed Tree-rat... 10

Table 3 Management Strategies for the Black-footed Tree-rat. 12

Table 4 Timeframe for Bushfire Management Plan Milestones 21

Table 5 Timeframe for Weed Management Plan Milestones..... 22

Table 6 Timeframe for Feral Cat Management Plan Milestones 23

Table 7 Timeframe for Research Project Milestones..... 24

Table 8 Timeframe for Delivery of Offsets..... 25

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of the Document

Four Elements Consulting was commissioned by Defence Housing Australia (DHA) to prepare a document outlining strategies to offset impacts of the Lee Point Master-planned Urban Development (the Project) on the nationally significant black-footed tree-rat (*Mesombrimys gouldii gouldii*), which is listed as Endangered under the *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Act 1999* (EPBC Act). Offsets for the black-footed tree-rat are required under the project's EPBC Act approval (EPBC 2015/7591), which is detailed in **Section 1.1.2** below.

1.1.1 Impacts Requiring Offsets

The project was subject to an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) process in 2017, which confirmed presence of the black-footed tree-rat at Muirhead North and concluded that 17.5 ha of suitable habitat was present for the species within the disturbance footprint. This area was reduced to 10 ha when the project was granted EPBC approval in 2019. Thus, this Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Plan will outline a strategic framework to offset the loss of 10 ha of black-footed tree-rat habitat at Muirhead North.

1.1.2 Meeting the Project's EPBC Act Approval Conditions

The project's EPBC Act approval conditions state that offsets must be provided for the loss of black-footed tree-rat habitat at Muirhead North. Condition 8 a) of the EPBC Act approval states that a framework for the delivery of offsets (i.e., an offset plan) must be submitted to the Minister for approval within 12 months of commencement of the action at Muirhead North. Condition 8 b) states that when this offset plan has been approved, detailed management plans for each approved offset project must be developed and submitted to the minister. This document addresses Condition 8 a) of the project's EPBC Act approval only, with condition 8 b) to be addressed once this document is approved by the minister. These conditions are outlined in **Table 1** below.

Table 1 Lee Point Master-planned Urban Development EPBC Approval – Condition 8

Biodiversity Offset Condition	Location in this Document
8. To offset the loss of 10 ha of Black-footed Tree-rat habitat from Muirhead North , within 12 months of commencement of the action at Muirhead North the approval holder must submit a Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Plan to the department for the Minister's approval. The approval holder must not undertake the action at Muirhead North for more than 24 months from the date of commencement of the action	Entire document.

<p>unless the Minister has approved the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Plan in writing, and the provisions of condition 2A and 8B have been met.</p>	
<p>a) The Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Plan must provide a framework for how the clearance of Black-footed Tree-rat habitat will be offset, and must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Include a review of relevant approved conservation advices, recovery plans and threat abatement plans; 	<p>Section 3</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ii. Identify threats to Black-footed Tree-rat, and potential recovery actions and research opportunities; and 	<p>Section 3</p>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> iii. Specify a process for developing Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Projects, and a staged process for submitting proposed Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Projects and milestones for completion of Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Projects to the Department for approval by the Minister. 	<p>Section 5</p>
<p>b) Offset Projects submitted to the Department for approval in accordance with Condition 8(a)iii must:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. specify the location and nature of Offset Project activities; ii. include project goal/s, budget and a detailed Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Project description, including timeframes for the elements of the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Project, and reporting and publishing of the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Project results; iii. demonstrate how the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Project is consistent with the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Plan; iv. describe advice obtained to develop the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Project; v. explain how the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Project complies with the principles of the EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy and, if relevant, provide details of how the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Project meets the criteria for research and educational programs identified in Appendix A of the EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy; and 	<p>To be addressed in future documents.</p>

- vi. identify and manage risks associated with implementing the Black-footed Tree Rat Offsets Project.

The approval holder must not implement any Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Project until it has been approved in writing by the **Minister**. Each approved Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Project must be implemented. The approval holder must expend an amount equivalent to at least \$78,750 (excluding GST) on implementation of the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Projects approved in accordance with Condition 8(a) and 8(b).

This document outlines strategies to offset impacts to the black-footed tree-rat at Muirhead North only. Impacts to the species from the 2CRU development will be offset according to condition 7 of the project's EPBC approval, which is outside the scope of this document.

1.2 Document Structure

This Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Plan is structured as follows:

- **Section 1** – Introduction
- **Section 2** – Overview of the project and species description
- **Section 3** – Threats facing the black-footed tree-rat and potential management strategies
- **Section 4** – Development of offset plan
- **Section 5** – Proposed offset projects
- **Section 6** - References

2.0 Project Overview

2.1 Project description

Defence Housing Australia is proposing an urban development (the Lee Point Master-planned Urban Development) on the outskirts of Darwin, which will establish a residential, tourism, community and commercial precinct in the suburb of Nightcliff. The 132.5 ha project site is bisected by Lee Point Road and comprises a former Department of Defence installation (referred to as the 2CRU site) on the western side, and a vacant parcel of crown land on the eastern side (referred to as Muirhead North). The project will provide affordable housing for both Defence families and for members of the public, as well as establish a tourism and community hub featuring restaurants, cafes, hotels, self-contained apartments, and retail shops. The project will also provide

serviced allotments to the NT Government for essential community infrastructure, including a childcare centre, primary school, and sporting oval.

The project's proximity to popular public spaces at Lee Point and the Casuarina Coastal Reserve will ensure high traffic throughout the year, generating economic stimulus for a Darwin economy that is currently in decline after recent downturns in the mining and fossil fuel industries. In total, the project will contribute an estimated \$350 million to the local economy, providing full time employment for up to 964 workers in the construction industry, 117 full-time and part-time employees in the hospitality industry, and 40 full-time employees in education. Furthermore, the project will prioritise training and employment opportunities for traditional owners, fostering economic participation and long-term benefits for the local community.

2.1.1 Relevant stakeholders

The project will proceed via an arrangement between the two major stakeholders – DHA, who are the landowners of 2CRU, and the Northern Territory Government, who is the controlling agency at Muirhead North via the Northern Territory Department of Infrastructure, Planning and Logistics (DIPL). In addition to the primary stakeholders, there are several key agency stakeholders involved with the project. These include:

- The Larrakia people - the traditional owners of the project site represented through the Larrakia Development Corporation and the Larrakia National Aboriginal Corporation.
- The City of Darwin - the relevant local government body.
- Northern Territory Parks and Wildlife Commission - the agency responsible for the Casuarina Coastal Reserve.

2.2 Species Description

2.2.1 Conservation Status

The black-footed tree-rat is listed as Endangered under both the EPBC Act and the *Territory Parks and Wildlife Conservation Act 1976*. At present, no Recovery Plan exists for the species. However, the Conservation Advice for the Kimberley and mainland Northern Territory subspecies of the black-footed tree-rat provides sufficient direction to implement priority actions for the species and mitigate against key threats.

2.2.2 Habitat and Distribution

The black-footed tree-rat is restricted to forests and woodlands in coastal regions of the Kimberley and the Northern Territory (Friend & Calaby, 1995; Rankmore, 2003). It prefers woodland dominated by *Eucalyptus miniata* (Darwin Woollybutt) and *Eucalyptus tetradonta* (Darwin Stringybark), particularly in areas with a well-

developed shrubby understorey (Friend, 1987; Friend & Taylor, 1985). These habitats are typically characterised by large-diameter trees, indicative of low fire frequency and intensity.

The black-footed tree-rat (*Mesembriomys gouldii*) comprises three subspecies with disjunct distributions - *M. g. rattoides* is found along the eastern and western coastal regions of Cape York Peninsula, *M. g. melvillensis* is restricted to Melville Island, and *M. g. gouldii* is distributed patchily across the Kimberley and the Top End. Historical records indicate a contraction of the species' range, particularly from Eastern Arnhem Land and the Gulf of Carpentaria (Friend & Calaby, 1995).

Multiple studies have documented a decline in population size across key regions in the black-footed tree-rat's distribution. For example, recent surveys have revealed very low numbers of black-footed tree-rats in Kakadu National Park, where the species was considered relatively common 30-40 years ago (Woinarski et al., 2010). Similar declines have been observed across the broader Top End region (Ziembicki et al., 2013) and on Melville Island (Davies et al., 2018a). However, the species appears to remain relatively abundant in the Darwin region, with studies recording stable numbers between 2001 and 2014 (Stokeld & Gillespie, 2015).

2.2.3 Ecology

The black-footed tree-rat is a large, nocturnal rodent weighing between 500 and 900 g. It is characterised by a robust body with grey fur, large black feet and ears, and a distinctive, elongated tail (30-40 cm) that terminates in a brush of white hairs. The species exhibits both arboreal and terrestrial foraging behaviours and is highly mobile, with an average home range of 67 ha in unfragmented forest and 27 ha in fragmented habitats (Rankmore, 2006). It is known to travel up to 2 km in a single night whilst foraging and is primarily frugivorous, consuming a range of fleshy and hard fruit seeds, with a strong preference for *Pandanus spiralis* fruit (Friend & Calaby, 1995). Its diet is supplemented with invertebrates, flowers, and grasses depending on seasonal availability (Morton, 1992; Rankmore, 2006; Rankmore & Friend, 2008).

The black-footed tree-rat is nocturnal, sheltering preferentially in tree hollows during the day. In areas where hollows are scarce, the species is known to nest in large *Pandanus spp.* (Pittman, 2003). Historically considered a solitary species (Friend, 1987), emerging evidence suggests that the black-footed tree-rat may exhibit more gregarious behaviours. A recent radio-tracking study reported that a significant proportion of retrieved collars had been chewed by other black-footed tree-rats (Rankmore pers. comm. as cited in EcOz, 2017). Additionally, recent camera-trapping studies have frequently documented multiple individuals at bait stations, further supporting the hypothesis of social tendencies within the species (Brydie Hill, Flora and Fauna Branch of the NT Department of Land and Resource Management, pers. comm. as cited in EcOz, 2017).

The black-footed tree-rat reproduces throughout the year, with peak breeding activity occurring during the late dry season (typically August–September) (Friend, 1987; Rankmore, 2006). They become sexually mature at approximately 80 days, with females reproducing every nine months. The species has a gestation period of 43–

44 days, producing litters of one to three offspring, with juveniles weaned at around one month of age (Crichton, 1969; Friend, 1987).

3.0 Threats and Management Strategies

A combination of factors has contributed to the contraction and fragmentation of black-footed tree-rat populations across their range. These threats, as well as appropriate management strategies, are detailed in the Black-footed Tree-rat Conservation Advice and are outlined in **Table 2** and **Table 3** below. Other relevant documents considered when determining threats to the black-footed tree rat and potential mitigation strategies include:

- *Threat abatement plan for predation by feral cats 2024* (DCCEEW, 2024)
- *Threat abatement plan to reduce the impacts on northern Australia's biodiversity by the five listed grasses* (DSEWPC, 2012)
- *Threat abatement plan for the biological effects, including lethal toxic ingestion, caused by cane toads* (DSEWPC, 2011)

Table 2 Threats to the Black-footed Tree-rat

Threat	Consequence Rating	Evidence	Relevance to Greater Darwin Population
Inappropriate fire regimes (frequent, high-intensity, extensive fires)	Severe	Fire frequency is an important predictor of black-footed tree-rat abundance (Davies et al., 2018b). There is strong evidence that frequent, high-intensity fires reduce habitat quality for the black-footed tree-rat by decreasing food availability and the number of suitable hollows (e.g., Penton et al., 2020; Penton et al., 2021a; Penton et al., 2021b). Inappropriate fire regimes are also known to exacerbate predation pressures on small mammals (Leahy et al., 2015), particularly from feral cats (McGregor et al., 2014; 2015; 2016).	High. Intense, high-frequency fires are a known threat to ecological values in the greater Darwin region.
Predation by feral cats	Severe (if confirmed)	This has not been demonstrated but is highly likely. Feral cats are widespread across northern Australia and have been implicated in the decline of mammal populations throughout the black-footed tree-rat's range (e.g., Davies et al., 2018a; Fisher et al., 2014; Frank et al., 2014). A recent review of all known feral cat predation records in Australia identified 151 species of native Australian mammal, with medium-large rodents considered most at risk of predation (Woolley et al., 2019). Several species that are comparable in size and behaviour to the black-footed tree-rat were confirmed as prey for feral cats, including congener the golden-backed tree-rat (<i>Mesembriomys macrurus</i>).	High. Feral cats are common in the greater Darwin area and are likely to be impacting black-footed tree-rat populations.

Habitat loss and fragmentation	Severe	The large tracts of tall, open Eucalypt woodland required by this species (Rankmore, 2006) are increasingly at threat from localised developments, particularly from the horticulture and mining sectors (TSSC, 2015). Urbanisation and expansion in the Darwin region are increasingly constricting and fragmenting remaining black-footed tree-rat habitat (S. Ward, pers. comm. as cited in TSSC, 2015).	High. Black-footed tree-rat populations in the Darwin region are becoming increasingly fragmented as urbanisation encroaches on the species' remaining habitat.
Habitat change due to exotic invasive grasses	Severe	This has not been demonstrated but is likely. Invasive grasses are spreading throughout the black-footed tree-rat's range (e.g., DEPWS, 2024; Kean & Price 2003) and are known to increase fuel loads, resulting in more frequent and higher intensity fires, which are known to be detrimental to the species. Invasive grass infestations are also likely to impede the black-footed tree-rat's ability to forage (TSSC, 2015).	High. Invasive grasses are present throughout the greater Darwin region and are likely to be impacting black-footed tree-rat habitat quality by reducing food availability, impeding foraging behaviours, and contributing to detrimental fire regimes.
Predation by wild dogs/dingoes	Moderate	This has not been demonstrated but is plausible. Wild dogs/dingoes are common throughout the black-footed tree-rat's range (ALA, n.d.) and are known to predate upon similar mammalian species.	Medium. Wild dogs are known to occur throughout the greater Darwin region, but their impact on the black-footed tree-rat is likely to be minor. Direct predation of feral dogs on black-footed tree-rats has not been demonstrated, and wild dog/dingo presence may provide indirect benefits to black-footed tree-rats by suppressing the activity of feral cats (Kennedy et al., 2012).
Habitat degradation and resource depletion due to livestock and feral herbivores	Minor	This has not been demonstrated but is possible. Feral herbivores exacerbate invasive grass and weed incursion (Hogan & Phillips, 2011), which can impact vegetation structure and may, in turn, alter fire regimes.	Medium. Feral herbivores occur throughout the greater Darwin region, but their impact on black-footed tree-rat populations is likely to be minor.
Poisoning by cane toads	Unknown	This has not been demonstrated but is possible. The decline of black-footed tree-rats in the Top End coincided with cane toad colonisation (TSSC, 2015), suggesting a possible correlation.	Low. Evidence suggests that impacts from cane toads are greatest at the invasion front, with these effects diminishing over time as wildlife adapts to their presence (DCCEEW, 2010). Given

		<p>Impacts to the black-footed tree-rat may be indirect (e.g., cane toad-related decreases in python and monitor populations resulting in more feral cats).</p>	<p>that cane toads have been present in the Darwin region for 20 years, and there is no evidence linking their colonisation to declines in black-footed tree-rat populations across their range, the impact of cane toads on black-footed tree-rats in the Darwin region is likely to be low.</p>
--	--	---	---

Table 3 Management Strategies for the Black-footed Tree-rat

Management Strategy Theme	Specific Actions	Priority	Relevance to Darwin Population
Active mitigation of threats	Develop or maintain regimes that reduce frequency and intensity of fire	High	High. Frequent, high-intensity fires are a major threat to biodiversity throughout the Darwin region. The implementation of appropriate fire regimes will improve habitat quality for the black-footed tree-rat and reduce the risk of catastrophic fire events.
	Implement cost-effective control measures to reduce the abundance or impacts of feral cats	High	High. Feral cats are prevalent throughout the greater Darwin region and are likely to be impacting black-footed tree-rat populations.
	Maintain habitat patch integrity and increase connectivity, especially in regions subject to clearing and intensification of land use	Medium-high	High. As urbanisation of the Darwin region continues, maintenance of habitat patch quality and connectivity is of vital importance.
	Seek to constrain further encroachments of invasive pasture grasses	Medium-high	High. Invasive grass control will lessen fuel loads and, in turn, reduce the likelihood of frequent, high-intensity fires. Reduction in invasive grass infestations is also likely to increase foraging opportunities for the black-footed tree-rat.
Captive breeding	Enhance captive breeding program	Medium-high	Low. Black-footed tree-rat populations in the Darwin region appear to be relatively stable (Stokeld & Gillespie, 2017; von Takach et al., 2023) and would benefit more from threat mitigation as opposed to re-population.
Translocation	May be required in the Kimberley	Low-medium	Low. Black-footed tree-rat populations in the Darwin region appear to be relatively stable (Stokeld & Gillespie, 2017; von Takach et al., 2023) and would benefit more from threat mitigation as opposed to re-population.

Monitoring	Implement monitoring program linked to assessment of management effectiveness	High	High. The black-footed tree-rat population in the Darwin region remains poorly understood. An effective monitoring program will help inform management practices in the future.
Community engagement	Involve Indigenous ranger groups in survey, monitoring and management	Medium	High. Indigenous ranger involvement is likely to benefit both the environment and the local community.
	Increase the profile of the species in the Darwin peri-urban area to advocate for its protection	Medium	Medium. Recent media attention for the project has increased the profile of the black-footed tree-rat in the Darwin region, which is likely to increase as the project progresses. Increased awareness and community engagement will contribute to positive conservation outcomes for the species.
Habitat mapping	In the Northern Territory, particularly around Darwin, identify and map the highest quality habitat for this subspecies (including the maintenance of required connectivity) for consideration and protection under development pressure.	Medium-high	High. Habitat mapping in the Darwin area is important for the maintenance of patch integrity and connectivity as urbanisation in the region increases.

3.1 Relevance to the Darwin Region

The Black-footed Tree-rat Conservation Advice identifies four threats with a consequence rating of severe that are all highly relevant to the greater Darwin population – habitat loss and fragmentation, inappropriate fire regimes, exotic grass incursion and feral cats (**Table 2**). These threats are discussed below.

3.1.1 Habitat Loss and Fragmentation

Rapid urbanisation in the greater Darwin area poses a significant threat to biodiversity. As Darwin's population grows and anthropogenic transformation of the landscape intensifies, once continuous expanses of native vegetation are becoming increasingly fragmented (Fischer et al., 2021), creating isolated patches of remnant bushland surrounded by a matrix of modified habitats. A number of threatened species, including the black-footed tree-rat, are dependent on these fragmented patches for survival (Griffiths et al., 2002), meaning their retention and management are vital for the preservation of biodiversity in the greater Darwin region.

Patch size is an important factor when considering the biodiversity value of fragmented habitat. It is widely accepted in the literature that species richness is positively correlated with patch size, as larger patches allow for greater habitat diversity (e.g., Angold et al., 2006; Larence et al., 2018; Szangolies et al., 2022). Larger patches are also less influenced by external forces (Saunders et al., 1991), meaning they are more resistant to edge effects and thus more stable (Rankmore, 2006). In northern Australia, where fire plays a major role in the landscape, patch size is even more important as small fragments (e.g., <1 ha) cannot support a diverse fire regime, meaning they become more homogenous as time since isolation increases (Andersen et al., 2012; Driscoll et al., 2021; Rankmore, 2006).

Patch size requirements vary greatly between species (Arroyo-Rodriguez et al., 2020; Johst et al., 2011). Although empirical data on minimum patch size requirements for the black-footed tree-rat is lacking, inferences can be drawn from the species' ecology. Specifically, the black-footed tree-rat is highly mobile, has a relatively large home range (Rankmore, 2006; Rankmore & Friend, 2008), and utilises large tracts of intact forest when foraging (Griffiths et al., 2002; Kerle et al., 2024). A study that radio-tracked black-footed tree-rats in the greater Darwin region found that individuals travelled up to 18 ha over a three-month period (Griffiths et al., 2002), indicating a strong dependence on large, intact woodland patches. This suggests that larger patches (e.g., >20 ha) are more valuable to the species than multiple, smaller habitat fragments.

3.1.2 Inappropriate Fire Regimes

The alteration of fire regimes across northern Australia is well documented in the literature (e.g., Bowman, 2003; Enright & Thomas, 2008; Russell-Smith et al., 2003). The breakdown of traditional burning practices has led to more frequent, high intensity fires (Mariani et al., 2022), which are further exacerbated by factors such as exotic

grass invasion (Setterfield et al., 2010) and climate change (Canadell et al., 2021). This increase in the frequency of high intensity fires has been implicated in the decline of several mammal species across northern Australia (e.g., Davies et al., 2018b; Price et al., 2005; Woinarski et al., 2001) including the black-footed tree-rat (Davies et al., 2018b).

Several studies have identified fire frequency and intensity as a significant predictor of black-footed tree-rat abundance. Kerle et al. (2024) found that in the Kimberley, black-footed tree-rats preferred areas that were structurally diverse and protected from fire, while Davies et al. (2018b) observed an increase in black-footed tree-rat abundance in plots that were burnt less frequently. These findings can be explained by the species' habitat requirements, which are dependent upon longer fire intervals and reduced fire intensity. Specifically, the black-footed tree-rat prefers mature forest with a well-developed understorey (Davies et al., 2018a; Kerle et al., 2024), utilising hollows for day-time refuges and nesting (Griffiths et al., 2002; Wooley et al., 2024). Penton et al. (2020; 2021) found that black-footed tree-rats prefer mature den trees with large den cavities, while Wooley et al. (2024) demonstrated that hollows are a critical limiting resource for the species in areas that burn frequently. Intense, more frequent fires are also likely to reduce food resources for the species and increase susceptibility to feral cats (McGregor, 2017).

Altered fire regimes in the Darwin region pose a significant threat to the black-footed tree-rat. Due to its proximity to a major population centre, bushland in the greater Darwin region experiences frequent, deliberate burning (NAFI, 2025). This rise in fire frequency, combined with the increase in fuel loads associated with the proliferation of exotic grasses in the region (Keane & Price, 2002), highlights the increasing threat that fire poses to black-footed tree-rats in the greater Darwin area.

3.1.3 Invasive Grasses

Exotic grass invasion is a major threat to biodiversity across northern Australia (Cook & Grice, 2013; Gallagher & Leishman, 2015; Godfree et al., 2017; Rossiter-Rachor et al., 2023). Invasive grasses alter habitat structure, composition, and quality (D'antonio & Vitousek, 1992), which in turn impacts resource availability and disturbance regimes, often with far-reaching ecosystem-level consequences (Gordon, 1998). There are numerous exotic grass species currently invading northern Australia, and there is a plethora of literature documenting their adverse environmental impacts (e.g., Grice et al., 2013; Lonsdale, 1994; Rossiter et al., 2003; van Klinken et al., 2015; van Klinken & Friedel, 2018).

Of particular concern in Australia's northern savannah are transforming grasses such as gamba grass (*Andropogon gayanus*). Gamba grass outcompetes native grasses (Rossiter-Rachor et al., 2009), forming a monoculture that increases fuel loads and results in more frequent, high-intensity fires (Setterfield et al., 2010). One study attempted to quantify the impact of gamba grass on fire regimes in northern Australia, finding that areas dominated by gamba grass experienced fires eight times more intense than those recorded in native grass

savannahs at the same time of year (Rossiter et al., 2003). Recent surveys in the greater Darwin area revealed a significant increase in gamba grass infestations, with an estimated 532,900 ha in the Darwin and Katherine regions affected (Northern Territory Government, 2024). This highlights the urgent need for effective management strategies in the region.

Exotic grass invasion poses a significant threat to the black-footed tree-rat. Invasive grasses contribute to more frequent, higher-intensity fires, which is consistently identified in the literature as the major threatening process for the species (Davies et al., 2018b; Kerle et al., 2024; Neave et al., 2024; Wooley et al., 2024). Invasive grasses outcompete native grasses and shrubs, reducing structural diversity and woodland complexity, which is likely to impact food availability (Grice et al., 2013) and impede black-footed tree-rat foraging behaviours. Accordingly, it is demonstrated throughout the literature that black-footed tree-rats prefer habitats with a well-developed shrubby understorey (Friend, 1987; Friend & Taylor, 1985). In recent studies, Davies et al. (2018) found that shrub density was a significant, positive predictor of site-occupancy for the black-footed tree-rat, and Kerle et al. (2024) demonstrated a preference for areas of high structural diversity. This suggests that exotic monocultures of invasive grasses may be detrimental to the species, regardless of their impact on fire regimes.

3.1.4 Feral Cats

Feral cats are a driving force behind the collapse of mammalian populations across northern Australia (Davies et al., 2017; Dickman 1996; Murphy et al., 2019; Tuft et al., 2021; Woinarski et al., 2011). They exert direct pressure on species through predation, and influence populations indirectly by compounding the impacts of other threats (Doherty et al., 2017). Feral cats are abundant throughout the greater Darwin region and represent a significant threat to the black-footed tree-rat. Although there is limited evidence demonstrating direct predation of cats on black-footed tree-rats, feral cats are generalists and are known to predate upon many similar species, including congener the golden-backed tree-rat (Woolley et al., 2019). This lack of direct evidence does not suggest an absence of predator-prey interactions between the species, but rather a lack of sufficient research into the impacts of feral cats on black-footed tree-rat populations.

The impact of feral cats in the Darwin region is likely exacerbated by other threats, including invasive grass incursion and altered fire regimes. In a study conducted by Neave et al. (2024), the impact of feral cats was found to be greatest in areas that were frequently burnt. This aligns with previous work suggesting that frequent, high intensity fire driven by invasive grass incursion can exacerbate the impact of feral cats in northern Australian savannahs (Leahy et al., 2015; McGregor et al., 2014, 2015). The synergistic effects of feral cats, altered fire regimes, and native grass incursion highlight the need for a wholistic approach when developing management actions in the greater Darwin region.

3.2 Management Strategies Relevant to the Darwin Region

To mitigate the threats outlined above, the conservation advice identifies several high and medium-high priority management strategies that are highly relevant to the greater Darwin population of black-footed tree-rats - fire management, maintenance of habitat patch integrity and connectivity, feral cat control, invasive grass management, habitat mapping, and implementation of a monitoring program (**Table 3**). These management strategies are discussed below.

3.2.1 Maintenance of Patch Integrity and Connectivity

As urbanisation in the Darwin region intensifies and habitats become more fragmented, the maintenance of patch integrity becomes increasingly important. Threats such as weeds and feral animals are more prevalent closer to population centres (Gallagher & Leishman, 2015), meaning active management of patches in these areas is necessary for the maintenance of ecosystem functions. As discussed above, patch size is an important factor when assessing the value of habitat, with larger patches generally supporting greater biodiversity than smaller patches. Larger patches are also more resistant to the effects of fire, highlighting the importance of prioritising the protection of continuous tracts of habitat over multiple, smaller patches.

The impacts of habitat fragmentation can be mitigated by maintaining connectivity between habitat patches. This can be achieved through habitat corridors, which maintain or re-establish a link between two or more historically contiguous patches of habitat (Hobbs, 1992). Habitat corridors are beneficial in fragmented landscapes as they increase the amount of habitat available to species (Saunders & Hobbs, 1991), facilitate movement and dispersal between otherwise isolated populations (Saunders et al., 1991), and help to maintain ecosystem functions (Saunders & Hobbs, 1991). The benefit of habitat corridors is well established in the literature, and there is a plethora of research documenting their successful implementation in wildlife conservation (e.g., Brooker et al., 1999; Christie & Knowles, 2015; Gilbert-Norton et al., 2010).

The protection of larger habitat patches (i.e., >20 ha) and the maintenance of connectivity is particularly important for the black-footed tree-rat. The species has a relatively large home range and prefers expansive, intact tracts of mature forest (Rankmore, 2006; Davies et al., 2018b), meaning it may be more susceptible to the impacts of habitat fragmentation. This is particularly relevant to the greater Darwin population, where increased urbanisation is resulting in smaller, more fragmented patches of habitat, suggesting that management strategies in the region should prioritise protection of large, contiguous patches that preserve connectivity.

3.2.2 Fire Management

Fire management is one of the few tools available for conservation at the landscape scale (Davies et al., 2018). It is applied throughout northern Australia to conserve biodiversity, with the patch mosaic burning paradigm most often utilised. This burning strategy attempts to establish and maintain a fine-scale, heterogenous mosaic

of varying fire histories (Parr & Anderson, 2006), with the goal of increasing the amount of long unburnt vegetation (Woinarski & Winderlich, 2014). Recent research suggests that fire management could benefit from a more tailored approach (Davies et al., 2018), with the success of management strategies increasing if they are developed in consideration of local environmental conditions and adapted to individual species.

Inappropriate fire regimes are having a detrimental impact on ecosystems in the greater Darwin area (Fischer et al., 2023; Price & Baker, 2007) and are likely to be impacting black-footed tree-rat populations. More frequent, high intensity fires are a key threat to the species, meaning effective fire management is crucial to the black-footed tree-rat's ongoing perseverance in the Darwin region. This can be best achieved through a fire management strategy that is tailored to the Darwin region and developed in consideration of black-footed tree-rat ecology.

3.2.3 Invasive Grass Management

Invasive grass management in northern Australia is guided by the *Threat abatement plan to reduce the impacts on northern Australia's biodiversity by the five listed grasses* (DSEWPC, 2012). This plan states that weed management is based on the principles of prevention, eradication, containment and asset protection, which establishes a hierarchy for weed management. Preventing the establishment of invasive plant species is the most cost-effective approach to weed management and should be the goal of all management programs. In areas with small or newly established infestations, the goal should be aggressive suppression with the aim of eradication. In cases where eradication is not feasible or economically viable, containment efforts should focus on limiting the spread of the invasive grasses and reducing their impact on unaffected areas. And finally, for core or large infestations, management should prioritise the identification and protection of key assets.

Invasion by invasive grasses is a major threat to biodiversity in the Darwin region. Gamba grass is of particular concern and must be managed according to the strategies outlined in the Management Plan for Gamba Grass 2020-2030 (DEPWS, 2020), which is a statutory document designated under Section 10 of the *Weeds Management Act 2001*. Proliferation of gamba grass and other exotic species is likely to be impacting local black-footed tree-rat populations, meaning invasive grass management is vital to the preservation of habitat for the species in the greater Darwin area.

3.2.4 Feral Cat Control

Feral cat control in Australia is guided by the *Threat abatement plan for predation by feral cats 2024*, which outlines methods for both the direct and indirect control of feral cats. Direct control methods are exclusion via purpose-built fenced areas, poisoning, and trapping and shooting, while indirect methods include management of fire and grazing, and manipulation of species interactions.

Feral cats are a major threat to biodiversity in the greater Darwin area and are likely to be impacting the black-footed tree-rat. A reduction in feral cat numbers will directly benefit the species by reducing predation pressures. Further, inappropriate fire regimes, invasive grass incursion and feral cats are likely to be having synergistic effects, meaning black-footed tree-rat habitat cannot be managed successfully unless all three threats are addressed.

3.2.5 Habitat Mapping

Habitat mapping is an essential tool in wildlife management. It ensures informed decision-making during the development process and facilitates the protection of high value threatened species habitat. Habitat mapping is particularly important in urban areas, where connectivity between habitat patches is of greater importance (LaPoint et al., 2015), and small habitat patches can hold disproportionate value for threatened species (Bierwagen, 2007).

In the Darwin region, black-footed tree-rat populations occur in increasingly fragmented habitats. Mapping of black-footed tree-rat habitat in the area would facilitate decision-making during the development process, allowing for the protection of high value habitat and the maintenance of connectivity between habitat patches.

3.2.6 Monitoring Program

The literature documenting the importance of monitoring in conservation is vast (Lindenmayer & Lykens, 2010). It is widely accepted in the scientific community that effective management goes beyond implementation and is fundamentally linked to well-designed monitoring and evaluation systems (Hockings, 2003; Stem et al., 2002; Woodhill, 2000). Monitoring allows for evaluation of a management strategy's efficacy, serving as an early warning system for potential problems and facilitating development of remedial ideas (Hatry, 1999; Stem et al., 2002). Effective monitoring programs provide public and internal accountability and are vital for informed decision-making (Buckley et al., 2008).

Monitoring black-footed tree-rat populations in the greater Darwin area is essential for effective management. Small, fragmented habitat patches are more susceptible to external forces than large, contiguous tracts of bushland (Saunders et al., 1991), increasing the importance of monitoring in urban areas. Monitoring is necessary to ensure management strategies are achieving their desired outcomes, ensuring accountability and allowing for remedial action if conservation goals are not being achieved. Conservation outcomes benefit from monitoring multiple variables, including population density, population movements, and food availability, as well as monitoring of threats such as predator presence, fire history, and weed presence.

4.0 Development of Offset Plan

As discussed above, the Black-footed Tree-rat Conservation Advice identifies four severe, high-priority threats for the species – habitat loss and fragmentation, inappropriate fire regimes, exotic grass invasion, and predation by feral cats. This plan aims to mitigate these threats in the greater Darwin area through implementation of the management strategies identified in the conservation advice, thus achieving a conservation gain for the species.

4.1 Consistency with the EPBC ACT Offsets Policy

Appendix A details how the biodiversity offsets proposed for the project meet the requirements of the EPBC Act Offsets Policy (Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities – DSEWPaC 2012). A complete offsets policy assessment will occur when individual offset projects are submitted under condition 8 b) of the project's EPBC approval. These projects will be developed in accordance with the EPBC Act Offsets Policy.

4.2 Consultation

This offset plan was developed in consultation with the Northern Territory Department of Lands, Planning and Environment (DLPE – formerly DEPWS Flora and Fauna Division).

5.0 Proposed Offset Projects

The EPBC Act approval for the project states that offsets must be provided for the black-footed tree-rat to achieve a conservation gain for the species. The offset programs proposed in this document were developed in consideration of the Northern Territory Government's Strategic Conservation Planning for the Greater Darwin Region, which aims to assess and manage cumulative impacts of development on biodiversity values. Following consultation with the Northern Territory Department of Lands, Planning and Environment, a multifaceted approach was identified as the most effective strategy to meet the offset requirements for this project. This approach consists of both direct and indirect offsets which, when implemented collectively, are expected to achieve a positive conservation outcome for the black-footed tree-rat. These offset projects are outlined below.

5.1 Direct Offsets

In accordance with the EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy, this offset plan is built around the delivery of direct offsets for the black-footed tree-rat. Specifically, DHA will secure a minimum of 40 ha of black-footed tree-rat habitat in the greater Darwin region to directly offset the loss of 10 ha of habitat at Muirhead North. This area will comprise a single, continuous patch of vacant, crown lease land that preserves connectivity in the region (i.e., within 50km of Darwin's city centre). DHA aims to have this area protected in perpetuity via

proclamation of a reserve pursuant to the *Crown Lands Act 1992*. Once created, Section 79 of the Crown Lands Act states that protection can only be revoked by a decision of the Legislative Assembly of the NT. Implementation of protection through this mechanism will provide the highest level of protection for black-footed tree-rat habitat while allowing for continued use of the area for the mutual benefit of the community and the environment.

5.1.1 Offset Area Suitability

The conservation advice for the species identifies preferred habitat as lowland open forests and woodland dominated by *Eucalyptus miniata* and *E. tetradonta* with a relatively dense, shrubby understorey. Areas that meet these criteria will be identified via a desktop assessment and surveyed to ground-truth vegetation communities and determine suitability as an offset. Specifically, habitat quality assessments will be conducted within potential offset areas using the following key habitat criteria:

- Denning/refuge site availability (i.e., density of large trees, hollows, and mature *Pandanus* sp.)
- Food resource availability (i.e., density of known food plants)
- Ground cover composition/density
- Disturbance (e.g., grazing, fire, weed incursion)

Habitat quality scores will be derived from these key criteria to determine suitability for the black-footed tree-rat. Detailed habitat assessment methodology will be provided when condition 8 b) of the project's EPBC approval is met (i.e., in future documents). To achieve a conservation gain for the species, the offset area will be managed using a combination of strategies (i.e., 'offset projects') that will improve habitat quality and maintain black-footed tree-rat habitat in perpetuity. These offset projects are outlined below.

5.1.2 Fire Management

The conservation advice identifies inappropriate fire regimes as a severe, high priority threat for the black-footed tree-rat. To mitigate impacts of fire on black-footed tree-rat populations in the greater Darwin area, targeted fire management strategies will be implemented within the proposed offset area to improve habitat quality for the species and protect it from catastrophic fire events. Active fire management is a requirement for landowners under Northern Territory legislation (*Bushfires Management Act 2016*, *Fire Emergency Act 1996*), and the management strategies employed at the proposed offset area will meet these requirements while simultaneously benefiting black-footed tree-rat populations.

In accordance with Condition 8 b) of the project's EPBC approval conditions, a detailed Bushfire Management Plan will be developed once this offset plan is approved by the minister. This plan will provide all details relevant to implementation of the Bushfire Management Plan, including project goals, budget, and timeframes, as well

as detail the process for monitoring, reporting, and publishing of offset project outcomes. In summary, the Bushfire Management Plan will:

- Outline methods for assessing fire history in the proposed offset area.
- Outline methods for maintenance of fire breaks.
- Devise an appropriate burning regime that is tailored to local conditions and black-footed tree-rat habitat requirements.
- Describe the training and resources required for implementation of the Bushfire Management Plan.

5.1.2.1 Development of Bushfire Management Plan

The Bushfire Management Plan will be developed via a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. 4 Elements Consulting will coordinate development of the project, which will be implemented by local consultants with demonstrated experience in fire management in the greater Darwin region. During the planning phase, 4 Elements Consulting will engage with experts from the Darwin Centre for Bushfire Research (DCBR) at Charles Darwin University and Flare Wildlife Research, as well as specialists in black-footed tree-rat ecology, to ensure that the Bushfire Management Plan is informed by current scientific knowledge and is appropriately tailored to address the specific habitat requirements of the species. Experts that will be contacted during development of the Bushfire Management Plan include (but are not limited to):

- Professor Jeremy Russell-Smith - bushfire management expert (Professor of Research, Darwin Centre for Bushfire Research)
- Professor Trent Penman - bushfire management expert (Team Leader, Flare Wildlife Research)
- Dr Brooke Rankmore - black-footed tree-rat expert (CEO of AMRRIC – Animal Management in Rural and Remote Indigenous Communities)
- Professor John Woinarski - black-footed tree-rat expert (NESP Research Professor, Charles Darwin University)
- Brydie Hill (Threatened Species Scientist, NT DLPE)
- Larrakia Development Corporation and the Larrakia National Aboriginal Corporation

Acacia Land Management, who currently implement fire management strategies at Lee Point, will be engaged to conduct the Bushfire Management Plan at the Offset Area. The plan will be coordinated by 4 Elements Consulting and implemented in collaboration with Larrakia rangers.

5.1.2.2 Timeframe

Implementation of the Bushfire Management Plan will occur over a 10-year period. The project will be subject to a biennial review process to ensure conservation outcomes are being achieved. Milestones for the project are outlined in **Table 4** below.

Table 4 Timeframe For Bushfire Management Plan Milestones

Year	Milestones
0-3 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Secure Offset Area ▪ Consult with experts in the field ▪ Engage Larrakia Development Corporation and the Larrakia National Aboriginal Corporation ▪ Conduct desktop and field assessment of fire history in offset area ▪ Devise appropriate burning regime ▪ Finalise Bushfire Management Plan
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Maintain fire breaks ▪ Training and capacity-building for Larrakia Rangers ▪ Begin implementation of burning regime
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Bushfire Management Plan
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Bushfire Management Plan
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Bushfire Management Plan
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Bushfire Management Plan
2-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement burning regime and maintain fire breaks ▪ Provide annual monitoring report

5.1.3 Weed Management

The conservation advice identifies exotic grass invasion as a severe, high-priority threat for the black-footed tree-rat. To mitigate impacts of invasive grasses on black-footed tree-rat populations in the greater Darwin area, targeted weed management strategies will be implemented within the proposed offset area to limit the spread of exotic grasses, with a particular emphasis on “high priority weeds” (e.g., transforming grasses such as gamba grass). Active weed management is a requirement for landowners under Northern Territory legislation (*Weeds Management Act 2001*), with management strategies guided by the *Threat abatement plan to reduce the impacts on northern Australia’s biodiversity by the five listed grasses* (DSEWPC, 2012), the Darwin Regional Weeds Strategy 2020-2026 (DEPWS, 2021), and the Management Plan for Gamba Grass 2020-2030 (DEPWS, 2024). Strategies employed at the proposed offset area will be developed in consideration of these documents to ensure they meet state legislative requirements while also benefiting local black-footed tree-rat populations. In accordance with Condition 8 b) of the project’s EPBC approval conditions, a detailed Weed Management Plan will be developed once this offset plan is approved by the minister. This plan will provide all details relevant to

implementation of the Weed Management Plan, including project goals, budget, and timeframes, as well as detail the process for monitoring, reporting, and publishing of offset project outcomes. In summary, the Weed Management Plan will:

- Define high priority weeds (e.g., transforming grasses) for the purpose of the Weed Management Plan.
- Outline methods for mapping of current infestations of weeds in the proposed offset area, focusing on high priority weeds.
- Outline measures to prevent the introduction of weeds and control, reduce or eradicate existing weeds.
- Detail methods for monitoring weed infestations.
- Describe the training and resources required for implementation of the Weed Management Plan.

5.1.3.1 Development of Weed Management Plan

The Weed Management Plan will be developed via a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. 4 Elements Consulting will coordinate development of the project, which will be implemented by local consultants with demonstrated experience in weed management in the greater Darwin region. During the planning phase, 4 Elements Consulting will engage with invasive grass experts from Charles Darwin University, as well as specialists in black-footed tree-rat ecology, to ensure that the Weed Management Plan is informed by current scientific knowledge and is appropriately tailored to address the specific habitat requirements of the species. Experts that will be contacted during development of the Weed Management Plan include (but are not limited to):

- Dr Natalie Rossiter-Rachor - invasive grass expert (Senior Lecturer in Ecology, Charles Darwin University)
- Dr Brooke Rankmore - black-footed tree-rat expert (CEO of AMRRIC – Animal Management in Rural and Remote Indigenous Communities)
- Professor John Woinarski - black-footed tree-rat expert (NESP Research Professor, Charles Darwin University)
- Brydie Hill (Threatened Species Scientist, NT DLPE)
- Larrakia Development Corporation and the Larrakia National Aboriginal Corporation

Acacia Land Management, who currently implement weed management strategies at Lee Point, will be engaged to conduct the Weed Management Plan at the Offset Area. The plan will be coordinated by 4 Elements Consulting and implemented in collaboration with Larrakia rangers.

5.1.3.2 Timeframe

Implementation of the Weed Management Plan will occur over a 10-year period. The project will be subject to a biennial review process to ensure conservation outcomes are being achieved. Milestones for the project are outlined in **Table 5** below.

Table 5 Timeframe For Weed Management Plan Milestones

Year	Milestones
0-3 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Secure Offset Area ▪ Consult with experts in the field ▪ Engage Larrakia Development Corporation and the Larrakia National Aboriginal Corporation ▪ Finalise Weed Management Plan
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Map current weed infestations ▪ Training and capacity-building for Larrakia Rangers ▪ Begin implementation of weed management strategies
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Weed Management Plan
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Weed Management Plan
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Weed Management Plan
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Weed Management Plan
2-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement weed management strategies ▪ Provide annual monitoring report

5.1.4 Feral Cat Management

The conservation advice identifies predation by feral cats as a severe, high-priority threat for the black-footed tree-rat. To mitigate impacts of feral cats on black-footed tree-rat populations in the greater Darwin area, targeted feral cat management will be implemented within the proposed offset area to reduce predation pressure on the species. Management strategies will be guided by the *Threat abatement plan for predation by feral cats 2024* (DCCEEW, 2024), with emphasis on feral cat control through innovative techniques.

In accordance with Condition 8 b) of the project's EPBC approval conditions, a detailed Feral Cat Management Plan will be developed once this offset plan is approved by the minister. This plan will provide all details relevant to implementation of the Feral Cat Management Plan, including project goals, budget, and timeframes, as well as detail the process for monitoring, reporting, and publishing of offset project outcomes. In summary, the Feral Cat Management Plan will:

- Outline methods for monitoring of feral cat populations within the proposed offset area.
- Devise appropriate measures to control feral cat numbers within the proposed offset area.
- Describe the training and resources required for implementation of the Feral Cat Management Plan.

5.1.4.1 Development of Feral Cat Management Plan

The Feral Cat Management Plan will be developed via a collaborative process involving multiple stakeholders. 4 Elements Consulting will coordinate development of the project, which will be implemented by local consultants

with demonstrated experience in feral animal management in the greater Darwin region. During the planning phase, 4 Elements Consulting will engage with feral cat experts from Charles Darwin University to ensure that the Feral Cat Management Plan is informed by current scientific literature and developed in consideration of local environmental conditions. Experts that will be contacted during development of the Feral Cat Management Plan include (but are not limited to):

- Professor Sarah Legge – feral cat management expert (Research Professor Conservation Management, Charles Darwin University)
- Professor John Woinarksi - feral cat and black-footed tree-rat expert (NESP Research Professor, Charles Darwin University)
- Dr Brooke Rankmore - black-footed tree-rat expert (CEO of AMRRIC – Animal Management in Rural and Remote Indigenous Communities)
- Brydie Hill (Threatened Species Scientist, NT DLPE)
- Larrakia Development Corporation and the Larrakia National Aboriginal Corporation

Local consultants will be engaged to implement the Feral Cat Management Plan once a methodology has been determined. DHA will seek advice on suitable local consultants during the consultation process.

5.1.4.2 *Timeframe*

Implementation of the Feral Cat Management Plan will occur over a 10-year period. The project will be subject to a biennial review process to ensure conservation outcomes are being achieved. Milestones for the project are outlined in **Table 6** below.

Table 6 Timeframe For Feral Cat Management Plan Milestones

Year	Milestones
0-3 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Secure Offset Area ▪ Consult with experts in the field ▪ Engage Larrakia Development Corporation and the Larrakia National Aboriginal Corporation ▪ Trial feral cat management strategies to determine efficacy ▪ Finalise Feral Cat Management Plan
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Training and capacity-building for Larrakia Rangers ▪ Begin implementation of feral cat control strategies
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Feral Cat Management Plan
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Feral Cat Management Plan
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Feral Cat Management Plan
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Conduct review of Feral Cat Management Plan
2-10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement feral cat control strategies

- | |
|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide annual monitoring report |
|--|

5.2 Indirect Offsets

The EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy states that indirect offsets can form up to 10% of an offset proposal. To supplement the direct offsets outlined above, DHA proposes funding for a research project to improve our understanding of black-footed tree-rat populations in the greater Darwin area and to ensure that conservation outcomes in the proposed offset area are achieved. This research project is outlined below.

5.2.1 Research Project

The conservation advice identifies monitoring and habitat mapping as high and medium-high priority management actions for the species, respectively. Accordingly, DHA proposes funding for a research project designed to improve our understanding of habitat requirements, landscape use, and population viability of black-footed tree-rats in the greater Darwin area, as well as investigate the efficacy of the management strategies outlined above. The goals of this research project are:

- Conduct a meta-population analysis of the black-footed tree-rat in the greater Darwin region.
- Improve our understanding of black-footed tree-rat habitat requirements in the greater Darwin region through habitat assessments and mapping.
- Conduct a study investigating the efficacy of conservation management strategies by comparing the offset area (i.e., the treatment) to the surround landscape (i.e., the control). This will include:
 - o Monitoring black-footed tree-rat densities in the proposed offset area and comparing them to the surrounding landscape.
 - o Monitoring feral cat numbers in the proposed offset area and comparing them to the surrounding landscape.
 - o Monitoring invasive grass incursions in the proposed offset area and comparing them to the surrounding landscape.
 - o Conducting habitat assessments in the proposed offset area using key variables (e.g., food availability, den/refuge availability etc) and comparing them to the surrounding landscape.

In accordance with Condition 8 b) of the project's EPBC approval conditions, a detailed Research Project Proposal will be developed once this offset plan is approved by the minister. This document will provide all details relevant to implementation of the Research Project, including project goals, budget, and timeframes, as well as detail the process for monitoring, reporting, and publishing of research project outcomes.

5.2.1.1 Development of Research Project

DHA aims for this research project to be developed and implemented by researchers at Charles Darwin University (CDU), with fieldwork supplemented by suitably qualified consultants if necessary. Preliminary discussions with CDU have begun, with early suggestions centred around this proposal forming part of a PhD project.

5.2.1.2 Timeframe

The Research Project will continue for a 5-year period. Milestones for the project are outlined in **Table 7** below.

Table 7 Timeframe For Research Project Milestones

Year	Milestones
0-3 months	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Engage researchers at CDU ▪ Engage Larrakia Development Corporation and the Larrakia National Aboriginal Corporation ▪ In-depth Literature review
1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Meta-population analysis ▪ Begin habitat assessments in the greater Darwin region ▪ Begin monitoring program
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Provide habitat mapping ▪ Implement monitoring program ▪ Provide annual monitoring program report
3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement monitoring program ▪ Provide annual monitoring program report
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement monitoring program ▪ Provide annual monitoring program report
5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement monitoring program ▪ Provide report synthesising project findings

5.3 Delivery of Offset Projects

Once this offset plan is approved, DHA will submit each individual Management Plan and the Research Project Proposal to the Department for review to satisfy Condition 8 b) of the project's EPBC approval conditions. DHA proposes that each offset project is submitted as a revision of this document (i.e., each project will be submitted as an appendix to this Black-footed Tree-rat Offset Plan). Offset projects will be submitted individually with this offset plan as they are developed.

DHA aims to implement each offset project within 12 months of approval of this offset plan. This will be achieved by adhering to the timeline outlined in **Table 8** below, which will commence when this offset plan is approved (i.e., day 0).

Table 8 Timeframe For Delivery of Offsets

Project Stage	Time Allocated
Development of offset projects (including expert consultation)	3 months
Departmental review	3-6months
Revisions and resubmission to the Department	3 months
Commencement date	12 months after approval of offset plan

5.4 Adaptive Management

DHA acknowledges that offset projects must be adaptable to changing circumstances. Situations that may require adaptation of offset projects include:

- Disconnect between theory and application. The efficacy of an offset program cannot be fully evaluated until it is implemented, meaning theoretical planning often requires real-world adjustment. While the offset strategies outlined in this offset plan are known to be effective in achieving conservation outcomes, their success cannot be guaranteed. Accordingly, the biennial review will identify if conservation outcomes are not being achieved, allowing for offset projects to be altered if necessary.
- Advancements in knowledge. The offset projects will be developed with expert consultation and built around current scientific literature. However, if new research emerges during implementation of the offset programs that may improve conservation outcomes, the projects will be modified. For example, if a new feral cat control technique is developed during the first year of the project's implementation, this will be identified in the offset project's biennial review and, if feasible, incorporated into the project the following year.

If the biennial review identifies changes that should be made to offset projects to achieve better conservation outcomes, an amended offset project plan will be submitted to the Department for review. If approved, these changes will be implemented immediately. The offset projects will also be held accountable by the research project, which will monitor their efficacy by comparing variables in the offset area to the surrounding landscape.

6.0 References

- Andersen, A. N., Woinarski, J. C., & Parr, C. L. (2012). Savanna burning for biodiversity: fire management for faunal conservation in Australian tropical savannas. *Austral Ecology*, 37(6), 658-667.
- Angold, P. G., Sadler, J .P., Hill, M. O., Pullin, A., Rushton, S., Austin, K., Small, E., Wood, B., Wadsworth, R., Sanderson, R. and Thompson, K., 2006. Biodiversity in urban habitat patches. *Science of the Total environment*, 360(1-3), 196-204.
https://www.academia.edu/download/52651027/Biodiversity_in_urban_habitat_patches20170417-3329-16vdg72.pdf
- Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., Fahrig, L., Tabarelli, M., Watling, J.I., Tischendorf, L., Benchimol, M., Cazetta, E., Faria, D., Leal, I.R., Melo, F.P. and Morante-Filho, J.C. (2020). Designing optimal human-modified landscapes for forest biodiversity conservation. *Ecology letters*, 23(9), 1404-1420.
<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ele.13535>
- Atlas of Living Australia. (n.d.). Occurrence records for *Canis dingo* [Data set]. Atlas of Living Australia. Retrieved March 23, 2025, from <https://doi.org/10.26197/ala.98ba54b5-d608-4967-a927-299e03ae900b>.
- Bierwagen, B. G. (2007). Connectivity in urbanizing landscapes: The importance of habitat configuration, urban area size, and dispersal. *Urban ecosystems*, 10, 29-42.
https://www.academia.edu/download/53511385/Connectivity_in_urbanizing_landscapes_Th20170614-3067-45you1.pdf
- Bouckaert, G., van Dooren, W., Hatry, H. P., Morley, E., Bryant, S. P., Liner, B., Vinson, E., Allen, R., Dusenbury, P., Bryant, S., & Snell, R. (2002). Performance Measurement: Getting Results. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 25(3), 329-. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3381242>
- Bowman, D. M. J. S., (2003). Australian landscape burning: a continental and evolutionary perspective. *Fire in ecosystems of south-west Western Australia: impacts and management*. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, 107-18.
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/David-Bowman-2/publication/268366286_Australian_landscape_burning_a_continental_and_evolutionary_perspective/links/56f0954a08aee3ce43c16/Australian-landscape-burning-a-continental-and-evolutionary-perspective.pdf
- Brooker, L., Brooker, M., & Cale, P. (1999). Animal dispersal in fragmented habitat: measuring habitat connectivity, corridor use, and dispersal mortality. *Conservation Ecology*, 3(1). <https://ecologyandsociety.org/vol3/iss1/art4/>
- Buckley, R., Robinson, J., Carmody, J. and King, N. (2008). Monitoring for management of conservation and recreation in Australian protected areas. *Biodiversity Conservation* 17: 3589-3606.
https://www.academia.edu/download/48605857/Monitoring_for_management_of_conservation20160906-4048-a66tca.pdf

- Canadell, J. G., Meyer, C. P., Cook, G. D., Dowdy, A., Briggs, P. R., Knauer, J., Pepler, A., & Haverd, V. (2021). Multi-decadal increase of forest burned area in Australia is linked to climate change. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 6921–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27225-4>.
- Christie, M. R., & Knowles, L. L. (2015). Habitat corridors facilitate genetic resilience irrespective of species dispersal abilities or population sizes. *Evolutionary Applications*, *8*(5), 454–463. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdfdirect/10.1111/eva.12255>
- Cook, G. D. and Grice, A. C. (2013). Historical perspectives on invasive grasses and their impact on wildlife in Australia. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *37*(3), 469–477. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wsb.309>
- Crichton, E. G. (1969). Reproduction in the pseudomyine rodent *Mesembriomys gouldii* (Gray)(Muridae). *Australian Journal of Zoology*, *17*(5), 785–797.
- D'Antonio, C. M., & Vitousek, P. M. (1992). Biological Invasions by Exotic Grasses, the Grass/Fire Cycle, and Global Change. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, *23*(1), 63–87. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.23.110192.000431>
- Department of Environment, Parks, and Water Security (2021). Darwin Regional Weeds Strategy 2021-2026. Northern Territory Government. https://depws.nt.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0004/291514/darwin-regional-weeds-strategy.pdf
- Davies, H. F., McCarthy, M. A., Firth, R. S. C., Woinarski, J. C. Z., Gillespie, G. R., Andersen, A. N., Rioli, W., Puruntatameri, J., Roberts, W., Kerinauia, C., Kerinauia, V., Womatakimi, K. B., & Murphy, B. P. (2018a). Declining populations in one of the last refuges for threatened mammal species in northern Australia. *Austral Ecology*, *43*(5), 602–612. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12596>
- Davies, H. F., McCarthy, M. A., Rioli, W., Puruntatameri, J., Roberts, W., Kerinauia, C., Kerinauia, V., Womatakimi, K. B., Andersen, A. N., & Murphy, B. P. (2018b). An experimental test of whether pyrodiversity promotes mammal diversity in a northern Australian savanna. *The Journal of Applied Ecology*, *55*(5), 2124–2134. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13170>
- Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water. (2010). The Cane Toad (*Bufo marinus*). Australian Government. <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/cane-toad-fs.pdf>
- Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water. (2024). Threat abatement plan for predation by feral cats 2024. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia. <http://www.dcceew.gov.au/environment/biodiversity/threatened/publications/tap/threat-abatement-plan-feral-cats>.
- Department of Environment, Parks and Water Security. (2024). Weed management plan for gamba grass 2020–2030 (2024 revision). Northern Territory Government. https://nt.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0006/954789/weed-management-plan-for-gamba-grass-2020-2030.pdf

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. (2011). Threat abatement plan for the biological effects, including lethal toxic ingestion, caused by cane toads. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia. <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/tap-cane-toads.pdf>

Department of Sustainability, Environment, Water, Population and Communities. (2012). Threat abatement plan to reduce the impacts on northern Australia's biodiversity by the five listed grasses. Canberra: Commonwealth of Australia. <https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/five-listed-grasses-tap.pdf>

Dickman, C. R. (1996). *Overview of the impacts of feral cats on Australian native fauna* (pp. 1-92). Canberra: Australian Nature Conservation Agency. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/am-pdf/10.1111/aec.12596>

Driscoll, D. A., Armenteras, D., Bennett, A. F., Brotons, L., Clarke, M. F., Doherty, T. S., Haslem, A., Kelly, L. T., Sato, C. F., Sitters, H., Aquilué, N., Bell, K., Chadid, M., Duane, A., Meza-Elizalde, M. C., Giljohann, K. M., González, T. M., Jambhekar, R., Lazzari, J., ... Wevill, T. (2021). How fire interacts with habitat loss and fragmentation. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 96(3), 976–998. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12687>

EcOz Environmental Consultants. (2017). Black-footed Tree-rat Survey Report. Defence Housing Australia. https://ntepa.nt.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/0019/460801/draft_eis_lee_point_urban_dev_appendixM_blackFooted_treeRat_survey_report.PDF

Enright, N. J. and Thomas, I. (2008). Pre-European fire regimes in Australian ecosystems. *Geography Compass*, 2(4), 979-1011. https://researchportal.murdoch.edu.au/esploro/fulltext/journalArticle/Pre-European-fire-regimes-in-Australian-ecosystems/991005541016807891?repld=12135351890007891&mld=13137089720007891&institution=61MUN_INST

Enright, N. J., & Thomas, I. (2008). Pre-European Fire Regimes in Australian Ecosystems. *Geography Compass*, 2(4), 979–1011. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-8198.2008.00126.x>

Firth, R. S. C., Brook, B. W., Woinarski, J. C. Z., & Fordham, D. A. (2010). Decline and likely extinction of a northern Australian native rodent, the Brush-tailed Rabbit-rat *Conilurus penicillatus*. *Biological Conservation*, 143(5), 1193–1201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.02.027>

Fischer, S. E., Edwards, A. C., Weber, P., Garnett, S. T., & Whiteside, T. G. (2021). The Bird Assemblage of the Darwin Region (Australia): What Is the Effect of Twenty Years of Increasing Urbanisation? *Diversity (Basel)*, 13(7), 294-. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d13070294>

Fischer, S., Edwards, A. C., Garnett, S. T., Whiteside, T. G., & Weber, P. (2023). The interaction between land-use change and fire regimes, directly and indirectly, affects the urban avian assemblages of Darwin, Australia. *Ecology and Evolution*, 13(7), e10239. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/ece3.10239>

Fisher, D. O., Johnson, C. N., Lawes, M. J., Fritz, S. A., McCallum, H., Blomberg, S. P., VanDerWal, J., Abbott, B., Frank, A., Legge, S., Letnic, M., Thomas, C. R., Fisher, A., Gordon, I. J., & Kutt, A. (2014). The current decline of

- tropical marsupials in Australia: is history repeating? *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 23(2), 181–190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12088>
- Frank, A. S. K., Johnson, C. N., Potts, J. M., Fisher, A., Lawes, M. J., Woinarski, J. C. Z., Tuft, K., Radford, I. J., Gordon, I. J., Collis, M., Legge, S., & Frid, C. (2014). Experimental evidence that feral cats cause local extirpation of small mammals in Australia's tropical savannas. *The Journal of Applied Ecology*, 51(6), 1486–1493. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12323>
- Friend, G. (1987). Population Ecology of Mesembriomys-Gouldii (Rodentia, Muridae) in the Wet-Dry Tropics of the Northern-Territory. *Wildlife Research (East Melbourne)*, 14(3), 293–303. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WR9870293>
- Friend, G. R., & Calaby, J. H. (1995). Black-footed tree-rat (*Mesembriomys gouldii*) (Gray, 1843). In R. Strahan (Ed.), *The mammals of Australia* (pp. 564–566). Reed New Holland.
- Friend, G. R., & Taylor, J. A. (1985). Habitat preferences of small mammals in tropical open-forest of the Northern Territory. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, 10(2), 173–185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.1985.tb00879.x>
- Gallagher, R. V., Leishman, M. R., Holwell, G. I., Stow, A., & Maclean, N. (2014). Invasive plants and invaded ecosystems in Australia: implications for biodiversity. In *Austral Ark* (pp. 105–133). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139519960.008>
- Gallagher, R. V. and Leishman, M. R. (2015). Invasive plants and invaded ecosystems in Australia: implications for biodiversity. *Austral ark: the state of wildlife in Australia and New Zealand*, 105-133.
- Gilbert-Norton, L. Y. N. N. E., Wilson, R., Stevens, J. R., & Beard, K. H. (2010). *A meta-analytic review of corridor effectiveness. Conservation biology*, 24(3), 660-668. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Karen-Beard/publication/216849891_A_Meta-Analytic_Review_of_Corridor_Effectiveness/links/5cfd5888a6fdccd1308f797e/A-Meta-Analytic-Review-of-Corridor-Effectiveness.pdf
- Godfree, R., Firn, J., Johnson, S., Knerr, N., Stol, J. and Doerr, V. (2017). Why non-native grasses pose a critical emerging threat to biodiversity conservation, habitat connectivity and agricultural production in multifunctional rural landscapes. *Landscape Ecology*, 32, 1219-1242. <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s10980-017-0516-9.pdf>
- Gordon, D. R. (1998). Effects of invasive, non-indigenous plant species on ecosystem processes: lessons from Florida. *Ecological applications*, 8(4), 975-989. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Doria-Gordon/publication/201997855_Effects_of_Invasive_Non-Indigenous_Plant_Species_on_Ecosystem_Processes_Lessons_from_Florida/links/0deec51db7366c249d000000/Effects-of-Invasive-Non-Indigenous-Plant-Species-on-Ecosystem-Processes-Lessons-from-Florida.pdf
- Grice, A. C., Vanderduys, E. P., Perry, J. J., & Cook, G. D. (2013). Patterns and Processes of Invasive Grass Impacts on Wildlife in Australia. *Wildlife Society Bulletin (2011)*, 37(3), 478–485. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wsb.314>

- Griffiths, A., Koenig, J., Carrol, F., & Price, O. (2002). Activity area and day-time tree use of the black-footed tree-rat *Mesembriomys gouldii*. *Australian Mammalogy*, 23(2), 181–183. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AM01181>
- Hatry, H. P. (1999). Performance measurement: getting results. Urban Institute Press, Washington, D.C.
- Hobbs, R. J. (1992). The role of corridors in conservation: Solution or bandwagon? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution (Amsterdam)*, 7(11), 389–392. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347\(92\)90010-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-5347(92)90010-9)
- Hockings, M. (2003). Systems for Assessing the Effectiveness of Management in Protected Areas. *Bioscience*, 53(9), 823–832. [https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568\(2003\)053\[0823:SFATEO\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[0823:SFATEO]2.0.CO;2)
- Hogan, J. P., & Phillips, C. J. C. (2011). Transmission of weed seed by livestock: a review. *Animal Production Science*, 51(5), 391–398. <https://doi.org/10.1071/AN10141>
- Johst, K., Drechsler, M., van Teeffelen, A.J., Hartig, F., Vos, C.C., Wissel, S., Wätzold, F. and Opdam, P. (2011). Biodiversity conservation in dynamic landscapes: trade-offs between number, connectivity and turnover of habitat patches. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 48(5), 1227-1235. <https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2011.02015.x>
- Kean, L., & Price, O. (2002). The extent of Mission grasses and Gamba Grass in the Darwin region of Australia's Northern Territory. *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 8(4), 281–281. <https://doi.org/10.1071/pc030281>
- Kerle, J. A., Kemper, C. M., Fleming, M. R., Friend, G. R., & Senn, M. (2024). Niche separation of three species of tree-rat (black-footed tree-rat, *M. gouldii*, golden-backed tree-rat, *Mesembriomys macrurus*, and brush-tailed rabbit-rat, *Conilurus penicillatus*) on the Mitchell Plateau, Western Australia. *Wildlife Research (East Melbourne)*, 51(7). <https://doi.org/10.1071/WR24023>
- Kennedy, M., Phillips, B. L., Legge, S., Murphy, S. A., & Faulkner, R. A. (2012). Do dingoes suppress the activity of feral cats in northern Australia? *Austral Ecology*, 37(1), 134-139. https://www.academia.edu/download/37641789/Kennedy_Cat_v_dingo.pdf
- LaPoint, S., Balkenhol, N., Hale, J., Sadler, J., & van der Ree, R. (2015). Ecological connectivity research in urban areas. *Functional ecology*, 29(7), 868-878. <https://besjournals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/1365-2435.12489>
- Lawrence, A., O'Connor, K., Haroutounian, V., & Swei, A. (2018). Patterns of diversity along a habitat size gradient in a biodiversity hotspot. *Ecosphere (Washington, D.C)*, 9(4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.2183>
- Leahy, L., Legge, S. M., Tuft, K., McGregor, H. W., Barmuta, L. A., Jones, M. E., & Johnson, C. N. (2015). Amplified predation after fire suppresses rodent populations in Australia's tropical savannas. *Wildlife Research (East Melbourne)*, 42(8), 705–716. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WR15011>
- Lindenmayer, D. B., & Likens, G. E. (2010). The science and application of ecological monitoring. *Biological conservation*, 143(6), 1317-1328.

- Lonsdale, W. M. (1994). Inviting trouble: introduced pasture species in northern Australia [weeds]. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, 19(3), 345–354. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.1994.tb00498.x>
- Mariani, M., Connor, S. E., Theuerkauf, M., Herbert, A., Kuneš, P., Bowman, D., Fletcher, M.-S., Head, L., Kershaw, A. P., Haberle, S. G., Stevenson, J., Adeleye, M., Cadd, H., Hopf, F., & Briles, C. (2022). Disruption of cultural burning promotes shrub encroachment and unprecedented wildfires. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 20(5), 292–300. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2395>
- McGregor, H. W., Legge, S., Jones, M. E., & Johnson, C. N. (2014). Landscape management of fire and grazing regimes alters the fine-scale habitat utilisation by feral cats. *PloS One*, 9(10), e109097–e109097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0109097>
- McGregor, H. W., Legge, S., Jones, M. E., & Johnson, C. N. (2016). Extraterritorial hunting expeditions to intense fire scars by feral cats. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 22559–22559. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22559>
- McGregor, H., Legge, S., Jones, M. E., & Johnson, C. N. (2015). Feral Cats Are Better Killers in Open Habitats, Revealed by Animal-Borne Video. *PloS One*, 10(8), e0133915–e0133915. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0133915>
- McGregor, H. W. (2017). Density, movements and hunting of feral cats in relation to fire and grazing in northern Australia (Doctoral dissertation, University of Tasmania). https://figshare.utas.edu.au/articles/thesis/Density_movements_and_hunting_of_feral_cats_in_relation_to_fire_and_grazing_in_northern_Australia/23238866/1/files/40955678.pdf
- Morton, C. M. (1992). Diets of three species of tree-rat: *Mesembriomys gouldii* (Gray), *M. macrurus* (Peters) and *Conilurus Pencilatus* (Gould) from the Mitchell Plateau, Western Australia. Canberra, ACT: University of Canberra.
- Murphy, B. P., Woolley, L. A., Geyle, H. M., Legge, S. M., Palmer, R., Dickman, C. R., Augusteyn, J., Brown, S.C., Comer, S., Doherty, T.S., Eager, C., Edwards, G., Fordham, D.A., Harley, D., McDonald, P.J., McGregor, H., Moseby, K. E., Myers, C., Read, J., Riley, J., & Woinarski, J. C. (2019). Introduced cats (*Felis catus*) eating a continental fauna: the number of mammals killed in Australia. *Biological Conservation*, 237, 28-40. https://researchers.cdu.edu.au/files/25092899/Murphy_et_al_manuscript.pdf
- Neave, G., Murphy, B. P., Rangers, T., Andersen, A. N., & Davies, H. F. (2024). The intact and the imperilled: contrasting mammal population trajectories between two large adjacent islands. *Wildlife Research (East Melbourne)*, 51(8). <https://doi.org/10.1071/WR24039>
- North Australia Fire Information. (n.d.). North Australia Fire Information (NAFI). Retrieved March 25, 2025, from <https://firenorth.org.au/nafi3/>
- Northern Territory Government (2024). Weed Management Plan for Gamba Grass 2020 – 2030 (2024 Revision), Department of Environment, Parks and Water Security, Darwin.

- Parr, C. L., & Andersen, A. N. (2006). Patch mosaic burning for biodiversity conservation: a critique of the pyrodiversity paradigm. *Conservation biology*, 20(6), 1610-1619.
- Penton, C. E., Davies, H. F., Radford, I. J., Woolley, L.-A., Rangers, T. L., & Murphy, B. P. (2021a). A Hollow Argument: Understorey Vegetation and Disturbance Determine Abundance of Hollow-Dependent Mammals in an Australian Tropical Savanna. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.739550>
- Penton, C. E., Radford, I. J., Woolley, L.-A., von Takach, B., & Murphy, B. P. (2021b). Unexpected overlapping use of tree hollows by birds, reptiles and declining mammals in an Australian tropical savanna. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 30(11), 2977–3001. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-021-02231-6>
- Penton, C. E., Woolley, L.A., Radford, I. J., & Murphy, B. P. (2020). Overlapping den tree selection by three declining arboreal mammal species in an Australian tropical savanna. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 101(4), 1165–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyaa074>
- Perry, J., Patykowski, J., Murphy, H., Richards, A., Gillespie, G., Vanderduys, E., Douglas, M., Setterfield, S., Somaweera, R., & Lourie, H. (2021). Savanna fire regimes and biodiversity impacts in northern Australia. CSIRO.
- Pittman, G. W. (2003). Occurrence and use of tree hollows by mammals in fragmented and continuous savanna woodlands in northern Australia (Honours thesis). Faculty of Education, Health and Science, Northern Territory University, Darwin.
- Price, O., & Baker, B. (2007). Fire regimes and their correlates in the Darwin region of northern Australia. *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 13(3), 177-188.
- Price, O., Rankmore, B., Milne, D., Brock, C., Tynan, C., Kean, L., & Roeger, L. (2005). Regional patterns of mammal abundance and their relationship to landscape variables in eucalypt woodlands near Darwin, northern Australia. *Wildlife Research (East Melbourne)*, 32(5), 435–446. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WR04033>
- Rankmore, B. (2003). Species profile: Black-footed tree-rat. *Northern Territory Naturalist*, 17, 56–57.
- Rankmore, B. (2006). Impacts of habitat fragmentation on the vertebrate fauna of the tropical savannas of northern Australia; with special reference to medium-sized mammals. Ph.D. thesis. Darwin: Charles Darwin University.
- Rankmore, B. R., & Friend, G. R. (2008). Black-footed tree-rat *Mesembriomys gouldii*. In S. Van Dyck & R. Strahan, *The mammals of Australia* (Third edition, 591-593). Sydney: Reed New Holland.
- Rossiter, N. A., Setterfield, S. A., Douglas, M. M. and Hutley, L. B. (2003). Testing the grass-fire cycle: alien grass invasion in the tropical savannas of northern Australia. *Diversity and distributions*, 9(3), 169-176.
- Rossiter-Rachor, N. A., Setterfield, S. A., Douglas, M. M., Hutley, L. B., Cook, G. D., & Schmidt, S. (2009). Invasive *Andropogon gayanus* (gamba grass) is an ecosystem transformer of nitrogen relations in Australian savanna. *Ecological Applications*, 19(6), 1546-1560.

- Rossiter-Rachor, N. A., Adams, V. M., Canham, C. A., Dixon, D. J., Cameron, T. N., & Setterfield, S. A. (2023). The cost of not acting: Delaying invasive grass management increases costs and threatens assets in a national park, northern Australia. *Journal of Environmental Management*, *333*, 116785–116785. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116785>
- Russell-Smith, J., Yates, C., Edwards, A., Allan, G. E., Cook, G. D., Cooke, P., Craig, R., Heath, B., & Smith, R. (2003). Contemporary fire regimes of northern Australia, 1997–2001: change since Aboriginal occupancy, challenges for sustainable management. *International Journal of Wildland Fire*, *12*(4), 283–297. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WF03015>
- Saunders, D. A., & Hobbs, R. (1991). Management of vegetation corridors: maintenance, rehabilitation and establishment. In *2nd Conference on Nature Conservation: The Role of Corridors* (pp. 299–311). Surrey Beatty and Sons Pty Ltd.
- Saunders, D. A., Hobbs, R. J., & Margules, C. R. (1991). Biological consequences of ecosystem fragmentation: a review. *Conservation Biology*, *5*(1), 18–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.1991.tb00384.x>
- Setterfield, S. A., Rossiter-Rachor, N. A., Hutley, L. B., Douglas, M. M., & Williams, R. J. (2010). Turning up the heat: the impacts of *Andropogon gayanus* (gamba grass) invasion on fire behaviour in northern Australian savannas. *Diversity & Distributions*, *16*(5), 854–861. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4642.2010.00688.x>
- Stokeld, D., & Gillespie, G. (2015). Assessment of small mammal fauna of the Darwin area. Flora and Fauna Division, Department of Land Resource Management & NERP Northern Australia Hub.
- Szangolies, L., Rohwäder, M.-S., & Jeltsch, F. (2022). Single large AND several small habitat patches: A community perspective on their importance for biodiversity. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, *65*, 16–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2022.09.004>
- Threatened Species Scientific Committee. (2015). Conservation Advice *Mesembriomys gouldii gouldii* Black-footed tree-rat (Kimberley and mainland Northern Territory). Canberra: Department of the Environment. <http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/species/pubs/87618-conservation-advice.pdf>.
- Tuft, K., Legge, S., Frank, A. S., James, A. I., May, T., Page, E., Radford, I. J., Woinarski, J. C., Fisher, A., Lawes, M. J. and Gordon, I. J. (2021). Cats are a key threatening factor to the survival of local populations of native small mammals in Australia's tropical savannas: evidence from translocation trials with *Rattus tunneyi*. *Wildlife Research*, *48*(7), 654–662. https://researchers.cdu.edu.au/files/45002072/Tuft_etal_2021_submitted_near_final.pdf
- van Klinken, R. D., & Friedel, M. H. (2018). Unassisted invasions: understanding and responding to Australia's high-impact environmental grass weeds. *Australian Journal of Botany*, *65*(8), 678–690.
- van Klinken, R. D., Panetta, F. D., Coutts, S., & Simon, B. K. (2015). Learning from the past to predict the future: an historical analysis of grass invasions in northern Australia. *Biological Invasions*, *17*(2), 565–579. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-014-0749-3>

von Takach, B., Sargent, H., Penton, C. E., Rick, K., Murphy, B. P., Neave, G., Davies, H. F., Hill, B. M., & Banks, S. C. (2023). Population genomics and conservation management of the threatened black-footed tree-rat (*Mesembriomys gouldii*) in northern Australia. *Heredity*, *130*(5), 278–288. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-023-00601-0>

Winderlich, S., & Woinarski, J. (eds) (2014). Kakadu National Park Landscape Symposia Series. Symposium 7: Conservation of threatened species, 26–27 March 2013, Bowali Visitor Centre, Kakadu National Park. Internal Report 623, June, Supervising Scientist, Darwin. https://data.imas.utas.edu.au/attachments/a0cf8cc5-67cd-49bb-bcaa-dedf21ed3287/Kyne_Threatened_fishes_of_Kakadu_2014.pdf#page=102

Woinarski, J. C. Z., & Winderlich, S. (2014). A Strategy for the Conservation of Threatened Species and Threatened Ecological Communities in Kakadu National Park 2014-2024. Kakadu National Park. http://www.nespnorthern.edu.au/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/kakadu_strategy_-_31-10-14_0.pdf

Woinarski, J. C. Z., Armstrong, M., Brennan, K., Fisher, A., Griffiths, A. D., Hill, B., Milne, D. J., Palmer, C., Ward, S., Watson, M., Winderlich, S., & Young, S. (2010). Monitoring indicates rapid and severe decline of native small mammals in Kakadu National Park, northern Australia. *Wildlife Research (East Melbourne)*, *37*(2), 116–126. <https://doi.org/10.1071/WR09125>

Woinarski, J. C. Z., Legge, S., Fitzsimons, J. A., Traill, B. J., Burbidge, A. A., Fisher, A., Firth, R. S. C., Gordon, I. J., Griffiths, A. D., Johnson, C. N., McKenzie, N. L., Palmer, C., Radford, I., Rankmore, B., Ritchie, E. G., Ward, S., & Ziemnicki, M. (2011). The disappearing mammal fauna of northern Australia: context, cause, and response. *Conservation Letters*, *4*(3), 192–201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263X.2011.00164.x>

Woinarski, J. C. Z., Milne, D. J., & Wanganeen, G. (2001). Changes in mammal populations in relatively intact landscapes of Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory, Australia. *Austral Ecology*, *26*(4), 360–370. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1442-9993.2001.01121.x>

Woinarski, J. C. Z., Risler, J., & Kean, L. (2004). Response of vegetation and vertebrate fauna to 23 years of fire exclusion in a tropical Eucalyptus open forest, Northern Territory, Australia. *Austral Ecology*, *29*(2), 156–176. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2004.01333.x>

Woinarski, J. C. Z., Burbidge, A. A., & Harrison, P. L. (2014). The Action Plan for Australian Mammals 2012 (1st ed.). CSIRO PUBLISHING.

Woodhill, J. (2000). Planning, monitoring and evaluating programmes and projects: introduction to key concepts, approaches and terms. World Conservation Union, Gland, Switzerland.

Woolley, L.A., Geyle, H.M., Murphy, B.P., Legge, S.M., Palmer, R., Dickman, C.R., Augusteyn, J., Comer, S., Doherty, T.S., Eager, C. and Edwards, G. (2019). Introduced cats *Felis catus* eating a continental fauna: inventory and traits of Australian mammal species killed. *Mammal Review*, *49*(4), 354–368. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/am-pdf/10.1111/mam.12167>

Woolley, L. A., Radford, I. J., Cremona, T., Woinarski, J. C. Z., Hill, B. M., Lawes, M. J., & Murphy, B. P. (2024). Nestbox use indicates declining arboreal mammals in an Australian savanna may be limited by tree hollow availability. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 561, 121915-. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2024.121915>

Ziembicki, M. R., Woinarski, J. C. Z., & Mackey, B. (2013). Evaluating the status of species using Indigenous knowledge: Novel evidence for major native mammal declines in northern Australia. *Biological Conservation*, 157, 78–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2012.07.004>

Appendix A Consistency of Offset Plan with EPBC Act Offsets Policy

Requirement of EPBC Act Offsets Policy	Consistency of Offset Plan
Offsets must deliver an overall conservation outcome that improves or maintains the viability of the protected matter	This will be achieved by improving our understanding of black-footed tree-rat populations in the greater Darwin area, as well as improving the quality of available habitat for the species and protecting it in perpetuity. Section 3 outlines threats and abatement strategies identified in the conservation advice that are relevant to the species in the greater Darwin area, while Section 5 explains how these threats will be addressed by this Offset Plan.
Suitable offsets must be built around direct offsets but may include other compensatory measures	Section 5 describes the proposed offset program, which is built around direct offsets.
Suitable offsets must be in proportion to the level of statutory protection that applies to the protected matter	This is determined by the project's EPBC Act approval, which states that: "The approval holder must expend an amount equivalent to at least \$78,750 (excluding GST) on implementation of the Black-footed Tree Rat Offset Projects approved in accordance with Condition 8(a) and 8(b)." The amount spent by DHA will exceed this dollar amount.
Suitable offsets must be of a size and scale proportionate to the residual impacts on the protected matter	DHA will secure a minimum of 40 ha of black-footed tree-rat habitat as an offset, which will be managed in perpetuity, as well as fund a research project to improve understanding of the species in the area.
Suitable offsets must effectively account for and manage the risks of the offset not succeeding	Offset projects will be subject to a biennial review. If this review identifies changes that should be made to the project to improve conservation outcomes, an amended offset project plan will be submitted to the Department for approval.
Suitable offsets must be additional to what is already required, determined by law or planning	The offsets outlined in this plan are additional to the duty of care required by DHA under environmental planning laws at all levels of government.

<p>regulations, or agreed to under other schemes or programs</p>	
<p>Suitable offsets must be efficient, effective, timely, transparent, scientifically robust and reasonable</p>	<p>Offsets will be efficient and timely as they will adhere to the strict timelines outlined above. DHA understands that development at Muirhead North cannot proceed until offset plans are established, which will expediate their approval and implementation. They will be effective as they have been developed in consideration of the black-footed tree-rat conservation advice, as well as relevant abatement plans, recovery plans, and scientific literature. The offset projects must supply yearly monitoring reports and will undergo biennial review, ensuring transparency. They are scientifically robust and reasonable as they will be developed in consultation with experts in the field and built around current scientific literature.</p>
<p>Suitable offsets must have transparent government arrangements including being able to be readily measured, monitored, audited and reported</p>	<p>All offset projects will be transparent, measurable and monitored. They will be available to government auditing at all stages of delivery.</p>